# **RESEARCH**



# Epigenetic patterns, accelerated biological aging, and enhanced epigenetic drift detected 6 months following COVID-19 infection: insights from a genome-wide DNA methylation study

Calzari Luciano<sup>1</sup>, Dragani Davide Fernando<sup>1</sup>, Zanotti Lucia<sup>2</sup>, Inglese Elvira<sup>3,4</sup>, Danesi Romano<sup>5,3</sup>, Cavagnola Rebecca<sup>4</sup>, Brusati Alberto<sup>4</sup>, Ranucci Francesco<sup>4</sup>, Di Blasio Anna Maria<sup>6</sup>, Persani Luca<sup>7,8</sup>, Campi Irene<sup>8</sup>, De Martino Sara<sup>9</sup>, Farsetti Antonella<sup>9</sup>, Barbi Veronica<sup>10</sup>, Gottardi Zamperla Michela<sup>10</sup>, Baldrighi Giulia Nicole<sup>4</sup>, Gaetano Carlo<sup>10</sup>, Parati Gianfranco<sup>2,11</sup> and Gentilini Davide<sup>1,4\*</sup>

## **Abstract**

**Background** The epigenetic status of patients 6-month post-COVID-19 infection remains largely unexplored. The existence of long-COVID, or post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC), suggests potential long-term changes. Long-COVID includes symptoms like fatigue, neurological issues, and organ-related problems, regardless of initial infection severity. The mechanisms behind long-COVID are unclear, but virus-induced epigenetic changes could play a role.

**Methods and results** Our study explores the lasting epigenetic impacts of SARS-CoV-2 infection. We analyzed genome-wide DNA methylation patterns in an Italian cohort of 96 patients 6 months after COVID-19 exposure, comparing them to 191 healthy controls. We identified 42 CpG sites with significant methylation differences (FDR<0.05), primarily within CpG islands and gene promoters. Dysregulated genes highlighted potential links to glutamate/ glutamine metabolism, which may be relevant to PASC symptoms. Key genes with potential signifcance to COVID-19 infection and long-term efects include GLUD1, ATP1A3, and ARRB2. Furthermore, Horvath's epigenetic clock showed a slight but signifcant age acceleration in post-COVID-19 patients. We also observed a substantial increase in stochastic epigenetic mutations (SEMs) in the post-COVID-19 group, implying potential epigenetic drift. SEM analysis identified 790 affected genes, indicating dysregulation in pathways related to insulin resistance, VEGF signaling, apoptosis, hypoxia response, T-cell activation, and endothelin signaling.

**Conclusions** Our study provides valuable insights into the epigenetic consequences of COVID-19. Results suggest possible associations with accelerated aging, epigenetic drift, and the disruption of critical biological pathways linked to insulin resistance, immune response, and vascular health. Understanding these epigenetic changes could be crucial for elucidating the complex mechanisms behind long-COVID and developing targeted therapeutic interventions.

**Keywords** COVID-19, DNA methylation, EWAS, Epigenetic drift, Epigenetic clock, SARS-CoV-2, Stochastic epigenetic mutation, PASC

\*Correspondence: Gentilini Davide d.gentilini@auxologico.it Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## **Background**

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, a remarkably high number of individuals worldwide have been afected. While the pathophysiology and immune response to SARS-CoV-2 (the virus causing COVID-19) infection have been extensively studied to predict acute disease progression and prognosis  $[1-7]$  $[1-7]$ , there is limited knowledge about the long-term efects caused to the host by the infection. The condition of persistent clinical symptoms after the acute phase of infection is common to many viruses—e.g., Epstein–Barr virus (EBV)  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$  $[8, 9]$ ; cytomegalovirus (CMV)  $[10, 11]$  $[10, 11]$  $[10, 11]$  $[10, 11]$  $[10, 11]$ , and human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) [[12\]](#page-12-6)—but in the case of COVID-19, this condition takes on great signifcance as it appears to afect a large percentage of individuals. The persistence of COVID-19 clinical symptoms for at least 12 weeks or more (several months) is referred to as post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC) or long-COVID [[13\]](#page-12-7) afecting individuals with both severe acute symptoms and those with mild or asymptomatic disease progression  $[14]$  $[14]$ . These symptoms encompass organ (e.g., fatigue, post-exertional malaise, headache, insomnia, tachycardia) and neurological (e.g., brain fog, memory/speech/language issues, sleeping problems) manifestations [[15](#page-12-9), [16](#page-12-10)]. Estimating the accurate prevalence of this condition proves to be highly challenging, primarily because inherent studies are shaped by a wide array of variables, including age, gender, ethnicity, the severity of acute symptoms, the type of SARS-CoV-2 variant, follow-up durations, viral load, the presence of concurrent medical conditions, vaccination history, preexisting social, economic, and medical factors, among others [\[17](#page-12-11)]. Among the most updated meta-analyses, the prevalence is reported between 30 and 60% [[18–](#page-12-12)[22\]](#page-12-13). Another meta-analysis revealed that COVID-19 long-term symptoms are slightly associated with older age and strongly associated with female sex and preexisting comorbidities (e.g., diabetes and obesity) [[23\]](#page-12-14).

The exact causes of long-COVID are still being investigated, but some hypotheses have emerged over time. SARS-Cov-2 can reach (via hematogenous spreading) and infect cells of the central nervous system (CNS), producing neuroinfammation [[24\]](#page-13-0). It has also been hypothesized that the SARS-CoV-2 virus may persist in specifc tissues long after the acute phase [[13\]](#page-12-7), leading to potential long-term health complications [\[25](#page-13-1)]. Disease risk factors include cell death and immune dysfunction after SARS-Cov-2 infection [\[26,](#page-13-2) [27](#page-13-3)], uncontrolled and persistent release of cytokines [[28,](#page-13-4) [29](#page-13-5)], multiple cell fusion in infected organ (syncytia) [\[30,](#page-13-6) [31\]](#page-13-7), autoantibodies causing immunodeficiency (against type I IFN) [\[32](#page-13-8), [33\]](#page-13-9) or microclots [\[33](#page-13-9), [34\]](#page-13-10), and persistent viral infection [[35,](#page-13-11) [36\]](#page-13-12).

The possibility that these dysfunctions are mediated over time by epigenetic changes has been explored through EWAS approaches in a limited number of studies capable of identifying specifc epigenetic signatures obtained by analyzing small cohorts of post-/long-COVID-19 [\[37–](#page-13-13)[39\]](#page-13-14). Lee and colleagues [[38\]](#page-13-15) investigated DNA methylation changes in immune response-associated genes in post-COVID-19 patients (after 3 months from the acute phase), identifying the gene IFI44L (interferon-induced protein 44 like) as the primary target. IFI44L plays a critical role in antiviral and antibacterial activity. The study of  $[37]$  compared methylation changes in the acute phase, and after one year, highlighting the persistence of pathways related to viral response and inflammation. The study performed by Nikesjo and colleagues [\[39](#page-13-14)] found a unique DNAm signature in PACS patients involving modifed pathways related to angiotensin II and muscarinic receptor signaling and mitochondrial function.

Here, we present a genome-wide study using the Illumina 850 K EPIC BeadChips of a large cohort of ninetysix individuals whose blood samples were collected 6 months after COVID-19 infection. The follow-up examination has highlighted the presence of suggestive longterm clinical features in twenty-eight patients. This study aims to assess potential epigenetic changes 6 months after COVID-19 exposure.

## **Results**

## **Characteristics of the sample population**

Demographic and clinical features, including age, sex, and cellular components of subjects sampled 6 months after the initial SARS-CoV-2 infection (cases) and healthy subjects with no history of SARS-CoV-2 infection (ref-erences), are summarized in Table [1.](#page-2-0) The age and sex distributions of the sample population were analyzed to understand the demographic profle of the participants: The two cohorts differ statistically in terms of age distribution (Mann–Whitney test,  $p < 0.05$ ) and sex ratio (Fisher's exact test,  $p < 0.05$ ). The estimation of cellular components of peripheral blood obtained from the EpiDISH package [[40](#page-13-16)] was evaluated to explore any variations in the composition of blood samples between the reference and the post-COVID-19 groups. The analysis showed no signifcant diferences in immune cell composition.

## **Exploratory**

We conducted an exploratory approach to investigate the epigenetic diferences between the two groups (post-COVID-19 vs. reference) through a principal component analysis (PCA) both at the CpG site and region levels (genes, CpG islands, and promoter). The

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Clinical characteristics of study cohorts



*IQR* Interquartile range

analysis (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0) did not reveal distinct patterns of epigenetic variation between the two groups since the patterns observed were almost overlapping, indicating a lack of solid diferences in DNA methylation at CpG sites and regions between the two groups.

## **Diferential methylation and over‑representation (ORA) analysis**

The differential methylation analysis between the two groups (post-COVID-19 vs reference) was performed using linear models for microarray data (LIMMA) [[41\]](#page-13-17). Confounding factors (sex, chronological age, and cellular component estimations) [[40,](#page-13-16) [42\]](#page-13-18) were considered by adjusting for their efects in the analysis (see Methods for details). Limma results are available as Supplementary File 1. At the site level, the study revealed a set of 42 CpG sites overlapping 53 genes exhibiting signifcant diferential methylation between the two groups (FDR< 0.05): 24 hypo-methylated (log2FC< 0; ∆*β* < 0) and 18 hyper-methylated (log2FC> 0; ∆*β* > 0) (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)).

Genomic localization of the 42 deregulated CpG sites showed a signifcant enrichment at the level of CpG islands (OR = 3.426, *p*-value =  $1.58 \times 10^{-4}$ ). In comparison, 18 out of 42 sites (43%) are functionally related to promoter regions (TSS200, TSS1500, 5'UTR, and 1stExon) (data not shown).

Diferentially methylated cytosines were annotated with their corresponding genes for functional annotation and prioritization (Supplementary File 1).

Over-representation analysis (methylGSA) revealed KEGG pathways associated with amino acid metabolism, including "Alanine, aspartate, and glutamate metabolism" (hsa00250) and "D-glutamine and D-glutamate metabolism" (hsa00471), albeit with nominal signifcance (Supplementary File 2).

Next, we focused on diferently methylated genes to determine their potential relevance to COVID infection and symptoms associated with post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC) by using the relevant keywords (e.g., COVID-19, long-COVID, post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection; neuronal; infammation, virus infection); we ranked genes based on their most suitable phenotype associations (VarElect) (Supplementary File 3). Among the top-rated genes to note: GLUD1 (glutamate dehydrogenase 1) (cg00167275;  $log2FC=1.5$ , ∆*β*=0.31, FDR=1.66e-35), ATP1A3 (alpha 3 subunit of the  $Na + / K + ATP$ ase) (cg13628106;  $log2FC = -0.32$ , ∆*β*=-0.16, FDR=3.2e-4), RNASEH2C (C subunit of ribonuclease H) (cg25294185; log2FC=-0.82, ∆*β*=-0.04, FDR=1.96e-12), SMAD2 (SMAD family member 2) (cg05100634; log2FC=0.51, ∆*β*=0.02, FDR=9.4e-7), TNIP1 (TNFAIP3 interacting protein 1) (cg22178392; log2FC=-0.18, ∆*β*=-0.03, FDR=1.5e-2), PRKCI (protein



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Scatter plots of principal component analysis (PCA). Scatter plot distribution of samples and the frst two principal components at **a** sites, **b** genes, **c** promoters, and **d** CpG islands

kinase C iota) (cg18139307; log2FC=0.18, ∆*β*=0.31, FDR=3.4e-6), and ARRB2 (arrestin beta 2) (cg10047026; log2FC=0.24, ∆*β*=0.025, FDR=6e-3).

Considering the top 200 nominally signifcant genes, we did not signifcantly enrich KEGG/PANTHER pathways (Supplementary File 4). However, at the



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Circos plot visualizes the genomic distribution of diferentially methylated sites throughout the human genome. The blue (hyper-methylated) and red (hypo-methylated) dots represent the genomic position of sites that have exceeded the statistical signifcance threshold (FDR<0.05) and are spatially arranged according to the -log10 (unadjusted *p*-value). The solid red line indicates the FDR signifcance threshold, while the dashed red line represents the Bonferroni signifcance threshold. *X* and *Y* chromosomes are omitted from the analysis.

gene ontology level, we identifed a signifcant enrichment at the level of cellular components (CC), primarily involving GO terms related to the proper function and structure of the Golgi apparatus (GO:0000139, "Golgi membrane"; GO:0098791, "Golgi subcompartment"; GO:0044431, "Golgi apparatus part"; GO:0005794"Golgi apparatus"; GO:0031984," organelle subcompartment").

At the regional level, the diferential analysis revealed signifcant epigenetic changes limited to a specifc CpG island region on chromosome 6 (chr6:41,068,476– 41069343) (adjusted *p*-value = 0.006, diff.meth =  $+1\%$ ). This region encompasses the 3' terminal portion of NFYA (nuclear transcription factor Y subunit alpha)

and the frst exon of the pseudogene ADCY10P1 (ADCY10 pseudogene 1).

## **Meta‑analysis**

To enhance the robustness and validation of our fndings, we conducted a comprehensive literature search for analogous studies. After identifying the sole survey with a similar design, we integrated our nominal *p*-values derived from the diferential analysis of CpG sites with those obtained from Lee and colleagues [\[38\]](#page-13-15), who conducted a comparison between COVID-19 positive and negative cases, using a meta-analytical method. The meta-analysis (Supplementary File 5) detected 13 signifcant (FDR <  $0.05$ ) CpG sites with identical directions of

effect/deregulation across the two datasets. This analysis was conducted considering that there is indeed a discrepancy between the two studies regarding the timing of sample collection post-infection (6 months vs 3 months). The thirteen genes associated to the 13 CpG sites are (in order of signifcance): DYRK2 (dual-specifcity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinase 2), ATP5PF (ATP synthase peripheral stalk subunit F6), B4GAT1 (beta-1,4-glucuronyltransferase 1), PRKXP1 (PRKX Pseudogene 1), SLC25A21-AS1 (SLC25A21 antisense RNA 1), NRDC (nardilysin convertase), SMAD2 (SMAD Family Member 2), OSBPL6 (oxysterol-binding protein like 6), IFI44L (interferon-induced protein 44 like), PATJ(PATJ Crumbs cell polarity complex component), PRH1-PRR4 (PRH1-PRR4 readthrough), and UBAC2 (UBA domain containing 2). The thirteenth probe is located in an intergenic region and aligns simultaneously with two genes, ACAN (Aggrecan) and ISG20 (interferon-stimulated exonuclease gene 20).

## **Age acceleration**

Methylation profles were evaluated through Horvath's epigenetic clock tool to assess whether exposure to the COVID-19 virus impacted biological age estimates. We observed a slight but signifcant epigenetic age acceleration (EAA) in post-COVID-19 patients across all available clocks [[42–](#page-13-18)[45](#page-13-19)], (Horvath, *p*-value= $3 \times 10^{-3}$ ; Hannum,  $p$ -value = < < 0.05; PhenoAge,  $p$ -value =  $8.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ; Skin-Blood, *p*-value= $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ ) (Fig. [3](#page-6-0) panels A, C, D, E). On the contrary, despite an increasing trend, no signifcant diferences were found when evaluating the DNAm GrimAge predictor of lifespan (linear regression adjusted for covariates,  $p$ -value = 0.42) (Fig. [3B](#page-6-0)).

Moreover, we also assessed DNAmTLadjAge, a DNA methylation-based estimator of telomere length [\[46](#page-13-20)]. DNAmTLadjAge corresponds to the age-adjusted parameter that relates DNAmTL to chronological age. Negative values of DNAmTLadjAge indicate DNAmTL that is shorter than expected based on age, while positive values indicate the opposite. Our analysis revealed that DNAmTLadjAge was signifcantly lower in post-COVID patients compared to controls. Results of linear regression adjusted for covariates: telomere length (DNAmTL)  $(p$ -value  $<$  < 0.05).

## **Epigenetic drift**

The assessment of epigenetic drift was carried out through the identifcation of stochastic epigenetic mutations (SEMs) as described in [[3,](#page-12-15) [47](#page-13-21)[–51](#page-13-22)]. To detect SEMs, we frst examined the distribution and variability of methylation levels in the control population for all the probes: A reference methylation range for each probe was generated using the formula  $Q1-(3\times IQR)$ =lower limit and  $Q3 + (3 \times IQR)$  = upper limit. Methylation levels of cases falling outside this extreme interval were identifed as SEMs. Diferences between cases and controls were then investigated using two distinct metrics to evaluate the epigenetic drift: one examining the broader infuence of SEMs (Global-Epi Mutation Load (EML)), while the other focused on assessing the burden of SEMs at the gene level (Gene-EML).

In the case cohort (Global-EML), the median value of SEMs stood at 488.5, IQR (373–922), whereas in the reference group, it was 369, IQR (314-520.5). The multiple regression model that accounted for sex and principal component covariates, which included age and cellular components, confrmed a signifcant increase of SEMs in the post-COVID-19 group compared to the control/ reference group (with an estimated incremental log10 transformed value of 0.1667 and a *p*-value of  $3.74 \times 10^{-06}$ ) as shown in Fig. [4](#page-7-0). The increase is confirmed even when SEMs are hyper-methylated and hypo-methylated categories (data not shown).

Diferences in Gene-EML between cases and controls were investigated using a sequence kernel association test (SKAT) [\[52](#page-13-23)]. After correction for appropriate covariates (sex and principal components, as described for the differential methylation step), the analysis identifed 790 SEMs-enriched genes with statistically signifcant associations with sample group variable (Perm *P* value < 0.05) (Supplementary File 6). The roster of genes exhibiting increased drift in cases underwent an over-representation analysis (Fig. [5\)](#page-8-0) (Supplementary File 7).

ORA identifed several enriched pathways, some remaining signifcant even after multiple testing corrections. Notably, the "Insulin resistance" pathway (hsa04931) remained signifcant (FDR=0.04), alongside the "VEGF signaling" pathway (hsa04370) and the "Apoptosis signaling pathway" (P00006) (FDR=0.005). Other pathways, while not signifcant after correction, are noteworthy, including "Hypoxia response via HIF activation," "Axon guidance mediated by netrin," "Relaxin signaling pathway," "T-cell activation," and the "Endothelin signaling pathway."

## **Discussion**

This study aimed to investigate potential epigenetic changes 6 months after COVID-19 exposure. Currently, within this timeframe of exposure, it stands out as one of the epigenetic studies with robust statistical power, analyzing the methylation profle of nearly a hundred individuals.

The role of DNA methylation in developing long-term COVID-19 symptoms has been examined in three previously published studies [[37–](#page-13-13)[39](#page-13-14)]. However, these studies utilized diverse approaches, primarily focusing on



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Boxplots showing the distribution of age acceleration diferences for diferent epigenetic clocks: **A** Horvath, **B** GrimAge, **C** Hannum, **D** PhenoAge, and **E** SkinBlood. The thick horizontal line in each box represents the median of the distribution, while the box itself represents the interquartile range (IQR). In the "ggplot" boxplot function, the whiskers extend to the data points located within 1.5 times the IQR from the box by default. Dots represent outliers (single values exceeding 1.5 IQRs). (F) Telomere length evaluation (DNAmTLadjAge)

post-acute sequelae of SARS-CoV-2 infection (PASC), and employed study designs with reduced sample sizes. We examined peripheral blood samples collected from individuals 6 months post-infection, regardless of persistent COVID-19 symptoms, with a primary focus on investigating whether the virus-induced signifcant



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Boxplot showing the distribution of SEMs in Reference and post-COVID-19 groups. The thick horizontal line in the box represents the median of the distribution, while the box represents the interquartile range. By default, in the "ggplot" boxplot function, the whiskers extend to the data points located within 1.5 times the interquartile range (IQR) from the box. Dots represent outliers (single values exceeding 1.5 IQRs)

epigenetic remodeling or reprogramming in the host organism.

The initial suggestive discovery reveals no discernible variance in the immune system landscape between the two groups, as evidenced by comparable estimates of blood cellular composition (CD8T, CD4T, NK, Bcell, Mono, Gran) (Supplementary File 8).

Although not directly comparing the same populations at the same time point (3 months post-infection), [[38](#page-13-15)] confrmed the absence of an infammatory state, as they observed no signifcant diferences in the various cell types under consideration.

The principal component analysis (PCA) showed no distinct patterns of epigenetic variation between the two groups, indicating a lack of solid diferences in DNA methylation at CpG sites and regions (genes, promoters, CpG islands) level. However, the diferential methylation analysis between the two groups revealed 42 CpG sites exhibiting significant differential methylation. The overrepresentation analysis highlighted a pathway related to glutamate/glutamine metabolism. The dysregulation of this pathway has been reported as signifcant in COVID-19 since studies have shown that glutamine and glutamate metabolism play a crucial role in COVID-19 severity, with elevated glutamate levels associated with an increased risk of infection and severe disease. In contrast, elevated glutamine levels are linked to a decreased risk of infection and severe COVID-19 [[53\]](#page-13-24). Moreover, the functional prioritization analysis enabled the identifcation of genes, including GLUD1, ATP1A3, RNASEH2C, SMAD2, TNIP1, PRKCI, and ARRB2, which are particularly intriguing for their potential involvement in the neurological and immunological processes associated with post-COVID symptoms.

Among the genes with the highest prioritization scores, we identifed GLUD1 (glutamate dehydrogenase 1), which is interesting because it plays a role in maintaining glutamate levels. The hyper-methylation of GLUD1 observed in this study aligns with the elevated levels of glutamate resulting from systemic infammation caused by SARS-CoV-2 infection. This finding might contribute to explaining a number of neurotoxic efects, contributing to neuronal dysfunctions such as altered learning, memory, and neuroplasticity highlighted in post-COVID patients [[54,](#page-13-25) [55](#page-13-26)].

Additionally, after prioritization analysis, ATP1A3 (alpha three subunits of the  $Na + / K + ATP$ ase) emerged as another intriguing gene. Although not directly linked to COVID-19, it has been associated with various neurological disorders [[56\]](#page-13-27) and cardiac abnormalities [\[57](#page-13-28)], suggesting a potential indirect role in the neurological manifestations and cardiovascular complications observed in some patients.

Emerging fndings indicate that also the other genes, RNASEH2C, SMAD2, TNP1, PRKCI, and ARRB2, may play a role in the pathophysiology of long-COVID by infuencing various aspects of the immune response, infammation, or cellular processes contributing to prolonged symptoms following COVID-19 infection. Mutations in the C subunit of ribonuclease H (RNASEH2C), for example, have been reported to afect the immune response and potentially result in severe COVID-19 outcomes [[58](#page-13-29), [59](#page-13-30)]. SMAD2 (SMAD family member 2) is a protein that plays a role in the TGF-*β* signaling pathway, which is involved in the regulation of cell growth, differentiation, and immune response [[60\]](#page-13-31). Research has shown that SMAD2, along with other genes like SMAD1 and SMAD3, plays a role in modulating T-cell immunity and viral infection responses, contributing to symptoms such as chronic infammation and immune dysregulation observed in long-COVID [\[61](#page-13-32)].

TNFAIP3 interacting protein 1 (TNIP1) is a hub protein associated with autoimmune diseases [[62\]](#page-13-33) and plays a role in COVID-19. Research suggests that TNIP1 is involved in the immune response and infammation regulation, making it a potential target for therapeutic interventions in COVID-19 patients [[63\]](#page-13-34).

Among the latest interesting genes, we found PRKCI and ARRB2. The PRKCI gene encodes a protein kinase C iota (PKCi), which regulates cellular functions such as cell proliferation, division, diferentiation, survival, migration, and polarization [[64](#page-13-35), [65\]](#page-13-36). On the other hand, ARRB2, a member of the arrestin/beta-arrestin protein family, is involved in desensitizing G-protein-coupled receptors and regulating signaling pathways related to





<span id="page-8-0"></span>

cell proliferation, migration, and infammation. Mutations in ARRB2 have been linked to neurodegenerative diseases [\[66,](#page-13-37) [67](#page-14-0)], cardiovascular alterations [[68,](#page-14-1) [69\]](#page-14-2), and cancer [[70\]](#page-14-3). Additionally, β-arrestin 2 promotes the production of IFN-*β* and virus clearance in macrophages, although some viruses may degrade it to evade the immune response [[71\]](#page-14-4). Both ARRB2 and PRKCI regulate toll-like receptor (TLR) signaling, which is critical for inducing infammation in response to microbes and host molecules.

An additional analysis aimed to boost the over-representation analysis (ORA) sensitivity by incorporating the frst 200 nominally signifcant genes into the gene list. This effort was made to capture potentially relevant genetic factors that may enhance ORA accuracy. The results revealed considerable enrichment in gene ontology (GO) terms associated with "Golgi apparatus functionality" under "cellular components." This finding is noteworthy as it aligns with previous research indicating that SARS-CoV-2 infection induces Golgi fragmentation, which aids in viral trafficking and release  $[72]$  $[72]$ . Additionally, Golgi fragmentation is commonly observed in brain samples from individuals with Alzheimer's disease and can be triggered by excessive neuronal activation [\[73\]](#page-14-6).

After concluding the diferential methylation analysis, the results were compared with those of a previous study using a meta-analytical approach to produce a more reliable list of genes. This comparative analysis identifed 13 genes showing notably consistent epigenetic diferences among studies. Despite the difering study designs, this gene list allows for a focus on more robust results.

Furthermore, the study explored additional facets of epigenetic regulation and investigated whether exposure to the SARS-CoV-2 virus afected biological age and epigenetic drift.

We observed a slight but signifcant age acceleration (AgeAccelerationDif) and telomere shortening in post-COVID-19 patients, suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 virus exposure might accelerate aging. The effects of viral infections, specifcally COVID-19, as well as immune responses on the process of biological aging are currently a topic of debate: several studies have explored this aspect among individuals with acute phase COVID-19, examining both the comparison between healthy controls and COVID-19 cases and the assessment of varying severity levels within the COVID-19 patient group, comparing mild and severe cases. While specifc studies have shown no distinctions between chronological and biological age [[3,](#page-12-15) [74](#page-14-7)], others have observed an acceleration [[75\]](#page-14-8). In partial contrast to our fndings, it is worth noting the absence of this acceleration in Lee et al., 2022 [[38](#page-13-15)], where no signifcant diferences are observed; however, it is essential to consider that the time points between our study (after 6 months) and Lee's [\[38](#page-13-15)] (after 3 months) are pretty diferent. Telomere shortening is a widely observed and confrmed aspect in the context of COVID-19, as demonstrated in other studies involving subjects with severe forms of the disease [\[75](#page-14-8)[–77](#page-14-9)] and in post-COVID-19 survivors [[78](#page-14-10)].

Another critical issue in understanding the biological efects of COVID-19 virus infection after 6 months is the results obtained by evaluating epigenetic drift. Epigenetic drift refers to the changes in DNA methylation patterns that occur over time, contributing to aging. It can be infuenced by genetics and environmental exposure, including viral infections, exerting an infuence on individual health by increasing genomic instability and promoting abnormal gene expression [[79\]](#page-14-11). Stochastic epigenetic mutations (SEMs) can be considered a reliable measure of epigenetic drift [\[3,](#page-12-15) [50](#page-13-38), [80](#page-14-12)]. For example, the burden of SEMs was recently found to be associated with Parkinson's disease (referred to as epigenetic mutation load) [[81\]](#page-14-13) or with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [[80](#page-14-12)]. Two diferent metrics have been used to assess epigenetic drift: one that analyzed the broader impact of SEMs (Global-EML) and another that concentrated on evaluating the burden of SEMs at the gene level (Gene-EML). Interestingly, our analyses showed a signifcantly increased Global-EML in the post-COVID-19 group compared to controls (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-0).

Moreover, to assess epigenetic drift at the gene level (Gene-EML), we employed a sequence kernel association test (SKAT). Designed initially for rare variant studies, this method has recently found applications in other areas like copy number variations (CNVs) and epigenetic modifcations. It has been widely used in numerous studies aiming to identify genetic associations with diseases such as Alzheimer's disease, schizophrenia, and autism spectrum disorder, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [\[80,](#page-14-12) [82–](#page-14-14) [84\]](#page-14-15). This analysis has identified a list of genes that exhibit signifcantly diferent epigenetic drift between the two study groups. The ORA analysis considering this list of genes revealed several signifcantly enriched biochemical pathways, most of which may directly or indirectly relate to COVID-19.

The "VEGF signaling pathway" and "Hypoxia response via HIF activation" pathways have been associated with COVID-19 due to their involvement in vascular dysfunction and infammation observed during disease progression [[85,](#page-14-16) [86\]](#page-14-17). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) plays a crucial role in angiogenesis and regulates various activities such as vascular permeability, cell migration, proliferation, and survival [[87](#page-14-18)]. Hypoxia, or low oxygen conditions, can activate the hypoxia-inducible factor  $(HIF)$ , a key regulator in the response to hypoxia. The concurrent activation of HIF and proinfammatory signaling leads to the upregulation of VEGF, which is elevated in COVID-19 patients compared to healthy controls [[88](#page-14-19), [89\]](#page-14-20) and significantly higher in patients with severe outcomes compared to survivors [[86,](#page-14-17) [90](#page-14-21)], suggesting that extensive activation of endothelial cells signifcantly contributes to disease progression. Unfortunately, we cannot speculate on whether these pathways are up- or downregulated in our analysis; as for the SKAT analysis, we considered overall epigenetic dysregulation by aggregating hyper- and hypo-methylated SEMs.

Moreover, pathways like "Insulin Resistance" and the "Insulin/IGF pathway-protein kinase B signaling cascade" could become relevant due to COVID-19's association with various metabolic alterations, such as impacts on insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism. Studies have shown that COVID-19 patients, even those with mild cases, may experience increased insulin resistance, which can persist long after the acute phase of the infection [[91\]](#page-14-22).

Another attractive deregulated pathway is represented by the "Apoptosis signaling pathway"; this pathway plays a central role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19, and its dysregulation may also contribute to disease severity. However, the role of apoptosis is very complex, and both the induction and inhibition of apoptosis have been suggested as potential therapeutic targets at diferent stages of the disease [\[92](#page-14-23)].

The "T-cell receptor signaling pathway" and "T-cell activation" pathways are highly suggestive concerning COVID-19. These pathways are relevant because the SARS-CoV-2 virus can directly impact the immune response of T-cells. During COVID-19 infection, there has been significant observed impact on T-cell populations and their activation, as T-cells play a crucial role in the immune response against the virus: Longitudinal studies [[93](#page-14-24), [94](#page-14-25)] show that immune abnormalities may persist after a severe COVID-19 progression, with sustained activation of myeloid cells, the presence of proinflammatory cytokines, and consistently activated T-cells still detectable between 8 to 12 months after the initial COVID-19 infection.

In conclusion, these results provide comprehensive insights into the epigenetic consequences of SARS-CoV-2 exposure after 6 months, emphasizing potential associations with aging, SEM accumulation, and dysregulation in critical pathways linked to insulin resistance, immune response, and vascular function.

We emphasize, for completeness of information, that an additional epigenetic analysis was conducted considering the subcohort of 28 samples that exhibited long-COVID symptoms. However, although these results confirm the findings already highlighted regarding the SARS-CoV-2 exposure factor, they should be taken and interpreted with caution due to the low sample size. The results of this additional analysis are reported in Supplementary File 9.

*The study has some limitations* Due to experimental constraints, cases and controls were not perfectly balanced on each BeadChip, necessitating careful evaluation and correction for any potential batch effects. To validate the results obtained from an EWAS (epigenome-wide association study), a biological replicate of the experiment with a different validation cohort would be necessary. Furthermore, although the sample size may be considered large, it may still be insufficient to detect very subtle differences between cohorts that could prove significant in a genomic framework or large genetic association study. However, it is essential to note that the analysis framework developed in this study aims to apply not only the most commonly adopted methods in the field of DNA methylation, such as the study of age predictors and the analysis of differential methylation, but also extends to less common analytical approaches by integrating the study of epigenetic drift and pathways enriched in genes significantly enriched in stochastic epigenetic mutations.

## **Materials and methods**

## **Patient recruitment and study design**

This study, conducted across multiple centers, intended to examine the long-term efects of COVID-19 by assessing ninety-six individuals 6 months after they contracted the virus (years 2020 and 2021). Inclusion criteria encompassed hospitalization during the infection period, with a subgroup of patients requiring intensive care unit admission and the use of forced ventilation. As a reference methylation profle, a control group of 191 selected individuals from an unrelated study with no history of COVID-19 (confrmed through serological testing) was included. At the time of sampling, control individuals did not exhibit any symptoms suggestive of COVID-19. The ethics committees of the participating hospital centers approved the study protocol. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before their inclusion in the study. All data collected were treated with strict confdentiality and adhered to relevant data protection regulations.

## **DNA extraction**

DNA isolation from peripheral blood from all patients was performed using automatic equipment and a commercial kit based on magnetic beads separation. Total genomic DNA quantifcation was carried out using an N60 Implen Nanophotometer. Samples showing aberrant protein (260/280) and organic compounds (230/260) ratios were discarded or purifed.

## **Methylation assay**

Following the manufacturer's instructions, 900 ng of high-quality genomic DNA was bisulfte converted using the EZ DNA methylation kit (D5001, Zymo Research Corporation). Illumina incubation conditions were used to increase the efficiency and reproducibility of the bisulfte conversion. Quality control/quantifcation of bisulfte-converted DNA (bsDNA) was performed using an N60 Implen Nanophotometer. Approximately 200 ng/ul of bisulfte-converted DNA was hybridized on Illumina Infnium Methylation EPIC BeadChips. Fluorescent signals were detected using the (two-color laser—532 nm/660 nm) Illumina iScan scanner and saved as intensity data files (\*.idat). The methylation level for each CpG site is represented as *β*-values based on the fuorescent intensity ratio between methylated and unmethylated probes. *β*-values may range between 0 (non-methylated) and 1 (completely methylated).

## **Quality control and diferential methylation analysis**

The generation of the  $\beta$ -value dataset of all samples was carried out using the ChAMP package [[95\]](#page-14-26): After the

quality control/preprocessing step, 12,777 probes with a detection *p*-value above 0.01, 5179 probes with a bead count<3 in at least 5% of samples, 2875 NoCG probes, 94,318 probes with potential SNPs [\[96\]](#page-14-27), 11 probes that align to multiple locations, and 16,424 probes located on *X* and *Y* chromosomes were fltered out. 734,334 probes and 287 samples were retained. After BMIQ normalization, the variability due to the batch efect was corrected by using the ComBat function [[97](#page-14-28)], which performs parametric empirical Bayesian adjustments. To infer the proportions of a priori known cell types (e.g., CD8+T and CD4+T-cells, natural killer (NK) cells, B cells, monocytes, granulocytes (basophils, eosinophils, and neutrophils)) present in blood samples, we used EpiDISH package [[40\]](#page-13-16) in R environment.

Diferential methylation analysis at the CpG sites level was conducted at the group level by computing *p*-values using the "Limma" package [\[41\]](#page-13-17). At the region level (Genes, promoters, CpG island, tiling), the "RnBeads" diferential methylation (Limma) module was alternatively used [\[98](#page-14-29)].

To adjust for potential confounding factors, a principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to evaluate the association of age and blood cell estimations with both dependent (disease groups) and independent (methylation values) variables: The principal components summarizing 80% of the variability were used as covariates in the diferential methylation step. Principal components (PCs) were used to avoid collinearity of covariates. Estimation of biological age (Horvath, Hannum, PhenoAge, DNAm skin, and blood) [\[43](#page-13-39)[–45\]](#page-13-19) and telomere length (surrogate marker DNAmTL) [\[46](#page-13-20)] were assessed using the DNA methylation age calculator analysis tool [\[42](#page-13-18)] [\(https://](https://dnamage.genetics.ucla.edu/) [dnamage.genetics.ucla.edu/\)](https://dnamage.genetics.ucla.edu/). Enrichment analysis on diferentially methylated sites was performed using the "methylGSA" package [\[99](#page-14-30)] (methylglm function) in the *R* environment.

Individual sample analyses were carried out by identifying stochastic epigenetic mutations (SEMs) as described in [[3](#page-12-15), [47–](#page-13-21)[51\]](#page-13-22). SEMs represent extreme aberrant methylation data points and were identifed for each CpG site by comparing the methylation profle of each case to a reference methylation range, calculated from a control population as follows: upper value =  $Q3 + (k \cdot \text{IQR})$ , lower value =  $Q1$ — (*k* \* IQR), where Q1 represents the frst quartile, Q3 corresponds to the third quartile, IQR (Interquartile Range) equals Q3—Q1, and *k* is set at 3. Outlier values were then classifed as hyper-methylated or hypomethylated with respect to the median values of the controls' corresponding probes. Gene annotation of SEMs was obtained using the web tool wANNOVAR [[100](#page-14-31)]. For testing associations between cases and SEM

enriched genes, a method for rare variants analysis was applied using the SKAT‐O method implemented in the RVTESTS package [[52\]](#page-13-23). Organization/investigation of results was conducted according to the clinical characteristics/keywords by using the available prioritization tools, including WEB-based gene set analysis toolkit (WebGestalt) [[101](#page-14-32)] which uses, among others, gene ontology, KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) and PANTHER (protein analysis through evolutionary relationships) databases, and VarElect (the next-generation sequencing phenotyper) [\[102](#page-14-33)]. Data/ results were visualized using the "ggplot2" package for PCA charts and boxplots, the "Pheatmap" package for the heatmaps, and the "CMplot" for the Manhattan plots. Linear regressions (after checking assumptions) or the Mann–Whitney function were used to evaluate statistical diferences in age, cell-type composition, and burden of SEMs between cases and controls. Unless otherwise stated, the statistical signifcance threshold was set to 0.05.

*Meta-analysis* The meta-analysis was performed using the computational tool METAL [[103\]](#page-14-34), specifcally designed for (epi) genome-wide analysis. Only the overlapping site-specifc *p*-values (*n*=723,656) derived from the diferential methylation analyses were integrated into the meta-analysis.





## **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-024-01724-9) [org/10.1186/s13148-024-01724-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13148-024-01724-9).



#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to acknowledge the patients and study participants who made this study possible. We also express our gratitude to the staff of the institutions involved in the collection of blood and clinical data.

## **Author contributions**

DG and GP conceived the study, contributed to the analysis, and co-wrote the frst draft of the manuscript. The recruitment of patients (and clinical information) was managed by LP, IC, EI, RD, AMDB, LZ, SDM, AF, VB, MGZ, and CG and carried out by EI and IC. LC and DFD performed the DNA methylation Illumina microarray assay. DG, LC, DFD, RC, and AB developed the informatics pipeline for this study. LC, DG, DFD, AB, RC, FR, and GNB analyzed the data. GC contributed by aiding in interpreting the results. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by two grants from the Italian Ministry of Health (Ricerca Corrente Reti 2020-RCR-2020–23670065 and Ricerca Corrente Reti 2021-RCR-2021–23671212). CG is supported by Fondi 5xMille and Ricerca Corrente Rete Aging. AF is supported by the Italian National Research Council (CNR)—(SAC.AD002.173.027) Progetti@CNR—IMMUNAGE.

#### **Availability of data and materials**

Raw data related to our study are available on the GEO repository under accession number GSE247869 [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE247869) cgi?acc=[GSE247869](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE247869)). Public access to the GEO repository is open, and thus administrative permission to access and use the data is not needed.

### **Ethical approval and consent to participate**

Approval for this study was granted by the local Istituto Auxologico Italiano Ethics Committee (Approval number: 2020\_03\_26\_02), ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda Ethics Committee (Approval number: 232–22,042,021) and by the Ethical Committee of Istituti Clinici Scientifci Maugeri Spa SB (Pavia) (Document: METH-COVID-19—Studio epigenetico sulle conseguenze dell'infezione da SarsCoV-2 (ID: 2543 CE)).

#### **Consent for publication**

All study participants gave informed written consent including specifc consent to genetic testing and permission to publish the results.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Bioinformatics and Statistical Genomics Unit, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Cusano Milanino, Milan, Italy. <sup>2</sup> Department of Cardiology, S. Luca Hospital, IRCCS, Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy. <sup>3</sup>Clinical Chemistry Unit, ASST Grande Ospedale Metropolitano Niguarda, Milan, Italy. <sup>4</sup>Department of Brain and Behavioral Sciences, University of Pavia, Via Bassi 21, Pavia, Italy. 5 Department of Oncology and Hemato-Oncology, University of Milano, Milan, Italy. <sup>6</sup> Molecular Biology Laboratory, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Cusano Milanino, Milan, Italy. <sup>7</sup> Department of Medical Biotechnology and Translational Medicine, University of Milan, Milan, Italy. <sup>8</sup>Department of Endocrine and Metabolic Diseases, Lab of Endocrine and Metabolic Research, San Luca Hospital, IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano, Milan, Italy. <sup>9</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR) – IASI, Rome, Italy. 10Laboratorio di Epigenetica, Istituti Clinici Scientifci Maugeri IRCCS, Via Maugeri 4, 27100 Pavia, Italy. 11Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan‐Bicocca, Milan, Italy.

## Received: 5 April 2024 Accepted: 8 August 2024 Published online: 20 August 2024

#### **References**

<span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Balnis J, Madrid A, Hogan KJ, Drake LA, Chieng HC, Tiwari A, et al. Blood DNA methylation and COVID-19 outcomes. Clin Epigenetics. 2021;13(1):118.

- 2. Bradic M, Taleb S, Thomas B, Chidiac O, Robay A, Hassan N, et al. DNA methylation predicts the outcome of COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. J Transl Med. 2022;20(1):526.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>3. Calzari L, Zanotti L, Inglese E, Scaglione F, Cavagnola R, Ranucci F, et al. Role of epigenetics in the clinical evolution of COVID-19 disease. Epigenome-wide association study identifes markers of severe outcome. Eur J Med Res. 2023;28(1):81.
- 4. Castro de Moura M, Davalos V, Planas-Serra L, Alvarez-Errico D, Arribas C, Ruiz M, et al. Epigenome-wide association study of COVID-19 severity with respiratory failure. EBioMedicine. 2021;66:103339.
- 5. Corley MJ, Pang APS, Dody K, Mudd PA, Patterson BK, Seethamraju H, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation profling of peripheral blood reveals an epigenetic signature associated with severe COVID-19. J Leukoc Biol. 2021;110(1):21–6.
- 6. Konigsberg IR, Barnes B, Campbell M, Davidson E, Zhen Y, Pallisard O, et al. Host methylation predicts SARS-CoV-2 infection and clinical outcome. Commun Med (London). 2021;1(1):42.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>7. Zhou S, Zhang J, Xu J, Zhang F, Li P, He Y, et al. An epigenome-wide DNA methylation study of patients with COVID-19. Ann Hum Genet. 2021;85(6):221–34.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>8. Kimura H, Cohen JI. Chronic active Epstein–Barr virus disease. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1867.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>9. Kristiansen MS, Stabursvik J, O'Leary EC, Pedersen M, Asprusten TT, Leegaard T, et al. Clinical symptoms and markers of disease mechanisms in adolescent chronic fatigue following Epstein–Barr virus infection: an exploratory cross-sectional study. Brain Behav Immun. 2019;80:551–63.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>10. Britt W. Manifestations of human cytomegalovirus infection: proposed mechanisms of acute and chronic disease. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol. 2008;325:417–70.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>11. Goodrum F, Caviness K, Zagallo P. Human cytomegalovirus persistence. Cell Microbiol. 2012;14(5):644–55.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>12. Straus SE. Studies of herpesvirus infection in chronic fatigue syndrome. Ciba Found Symp. 1993;173:132–9; discussion 9–45.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>13. Proal AD, VanElzakker MB. Long COVID or post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC): an overview of biological factors that may contribute to persistent symptoms. Front Microbiol. 2021;12: 698169.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>14. Tabacof L, Tosto-Mancuso J, Wood J, Cortes M, Kontorovich A, McCarthy D, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome negatively impacts physical function, cognitive function, health-related quality of life, and participation. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2022;101(1):48–52.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>15. Monje M, Iwasaki A. The neurobiology of long COVID. Neuron. 2022;110(21):3484–96.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>16. Raman B, Bluemke DA, Luscher TF, Neubauer S. Long COVID: postacute sequelae of COVID-19 with a cardiovascular focus. Eur Heart J. 2022;43(11):1157–72.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>17. Lippi G, Sanchis-Gomar F, Henry BM. COVID-19 and its long-term sequelae: what do we know in 2023? Pol Arch Intern Med. 2023;133(4):16402.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>18. Chen C, Haupert SR, Zimmermann L, Shi X, Fritsche LG, Mukherjee B. Global prevalence of post-coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) condition or long COVID: a meta-analysis and systematic review. J Infect Dis. 2022;226(9):1593–607.
- 19. Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, Palacios-Cena D, Gomez-Mayordomo V, Florencio LL, Cuadrado ML, Plaza-Manzano G, et al. Prevalence of post-COVID-19 symptoms in hospitalized and non-hospitalized COVID-19 survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Intern Med. 2021;92:55–70.
- 20. Han Q, Zheng B, Daines L, Sheikh A. Long-term sequelae of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of one-year follow-up studies on post-COVID symptoms. Pathogens. 2022;11(2):269.
- 21. Nalbandian A, Sehgal K, Gupta A, Madhavan MV, McGroder C, Stevens JS, et al. Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Nat Med. 2021;27(4):601–15.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>22. O'Mahoney LL, Routen A, Gillies C, Ekezie W, Welford A, Zhang A, et al. The prevalence and long-term health effects of long Covid among hospitalised and non-hospitalised populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. eClinicalMedicine. 2023;55: 101762.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>23. Notarte KI, de Oliveira MHS, Peligro PJ, Velasco JV, Macaranas I, Ver AT, et al. Age, sex and previous comorbidities as risk factors not associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection for long COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Med. 2022;11(24):7314.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>24. Song E, Zhang C, Israelow B, Lu-Culligan A, Prado AV, Skriabine S, et al. Neuroinvasion of SARS-CoV-2 in human and mouse brain. J Exp Med. 2021;218(3):e20202135.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>25. Vibholm LK, Nielsen SSF, Pahus MH, Frattari GS, Olesen R, Andersen R, et al. SARS-CoV-2 persistence is associated with antigen-specifc CD8 T-cell responses. EBioMedicine. 2021;64: 103230.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>26. Davis HE, McCorkell L, Vogel JM, Topol EJ. Long COVID: major fndings, mechanisms and recommendations. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2023;21(3):133–46.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>27. Halpin SJ, McIvor C, Whyatt G, Adams A, Harvey O, McLean L, et al. Postdischarge symptoms and rehabilitation needs in survivors of COVID-19 infection: a cross-sectional evaluation. J Med Virol. 2021;93(2):1013–22.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>28. Diamond MS, Kanneganti TD. Innate immunity: the frst line of defense against SARS-CoV-2. Nat Immunol. 2022;23(2):165–76.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>29. Karki R, Sharma BR, Tuladhar S, Williams EP, Zalduondo L, Samir P, et al. Synergism of TNF-alpha and IFN-gamma triggers inflammatory cell death, tissue damage, and mortality in SARS-CoV-2 infection and cytokine shock syndromes. Cell. 2021;184(1):149-68 e17.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>30. Braga L, Ali H, Secco I, Chiavacci E, Neves G, Goldhill D, et al. Drugs that inhibit TMEM16 proteins block SARS-CoV-2 spike-induced syncytia. Nature. 2021;594(7861):88–93.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>31. Lin L, Li Q, Wang Y, Shi Y. Syncytia formation during SARS-CoV-2 lung infection: a disastrous unity to eliminate lymphocytes. Cell Death Difer. 2021;28(6):2019–21.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>32. Damoiseaux J, Dotan A, Fritzler MJ, Bogdanos DP, Meroni PL, Roggenbuck D, et al. Autoantibodies and SARS-CoV2 infection: the spectrum from association to clinical implication: report of the 15th Dresden Symposium on Autoantibodies. Autoimmun Rev. 2022;21(3): 103012.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>33. Kruger A, Vlok M, Turner S, Venter C, Laubscher GJ, Kell DB, et al. Proteomics of fbrin amyloid microclots in long COVID/post-acute sequelae of COVID-19 (PASC) shows many entrapped pro-infammatory molecules that may also contribute to a failed fbrinolytic system. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2022;21(1):190.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>34. Turner S, Naidoo CA, Usher TJ, Kruger A, Venter C, Laubscher GJ, et al. Increased levels of infammatory and endothelial biomarkers in blood of long COVID patients point to thrombotic endothelialitis. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2023;50:288–94.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>35. Frank MG, Nguyen KH, Ball JB, Hopkins S, Kelley T, Baratta MV, et al. SARS-CoV-2 spike S1 subunit induces neuroinfammatory, microglial and behavioral sickness responses: evidence of PAMP-like properties. Brain Behav Immun. 2022;100:267–77.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>36. Yang B, Fan J, Huang J, Guo E, Fu Y, Liu S, et al. Clinical and molecular characteristics of COVID-19 patients with persistent SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):3501.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>37. Balnis J, Madrid A, Hogan KJ, Drake LA, Adhikari A, Vancavage R, et al. Persistent blood DNA methylation changes one year after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Clin Epigenetics. 2022;14(1):94.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>38. Lee Y, Riskedal E, Kalleberg KT, Istre M, Lind A, Lund-Johansen F, et al. EWAS of post-COVID-19 patients shows methylation diferences in the immune-response associated gene, IFI44L, three months after COVID-19 infection. Sci Rep. 2022;12(1):11478.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>39. Nikesjo F, Sayyab S, Karlsson L, Apostolou E, Rosen A, Hedman K, et al. Defning post-acute COVID-19 syndrome (PACS) by an epigenetic biosignature in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Clin Epigenetics. 2022;14(1):172.
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>40. Teschendorff AE, Breeze CE, Zheng SC, Beck S. A comparison of reference-based algorithms for correcting cell-type heterogeneity in Epigenome-Wide Association Studies. BMC Bioinf. 2017;18(1):105.
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>41. Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, et al. limma powers diferential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43(7): e47.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>42. Horvath S. DNA methylation age of human tissues and cell types. Genome Biol. 2013;14(10):R115.
- <span id="page-13-39"></span>43. Hannum G, Guinney J, Zhao L, Zhang L, Hughes G, Sadda S, et al. Genome-wide methylation profles reveal quantitative views of human aging rates. Mol Cell. 2013;49(2):359–67.
- Horvath S, Oshima J, Martin GM, Lu AT, Quach A, Cohen H, et al. Epigenetic clock for skin and blood cells applied to Hutchinson Gilford Progeria Syndrome and ex vivo studies. Aging (Albany NY). 2018;10(7):1758–75.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>45. Levine ME, Lu AT, Quach A, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Bandinelli S, et al. An epigenetic biomarker of aging for lifespan and healthspan. Aging (Albany NY). 2018;10(4):573–91.
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>46. Lu AT, Seeboth A, Tsai PC, Sun D, Quach A, Reiner AP, et al. DNA methylation-based estimator of telomere length. Aging (Albany NY). 2019;11(16):5895–923.
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>47. Gentilini D, Garagnani P, Pisoni S, Bacalini MG, Calzari L, Mari D, et al. Stochastic epigenetic mutations (DNA methylation) increase exponentially in human aging and correlate with X chromosome inactivation skewing in females. Aging (Albany NY). 2015;7(8):568–78.
- 48. Gentilini D, Scala S, Gaudenzi G, Garagnani P, Capri M, Cescon M, et al. Epigenome-wide association study in hepatocellular carcinoma: Identifcation of stochastic epigenetic mutations through an innovative statistical approach. Oncotarget. 2017;8(26):41890–902.
- 49. Gentilini D, Somigliana E, Pagliardini L, Rabellotti E, Garagnani P, Bernardinelli L, et al. Multifactorial analysis of the stochastic epigenetic variability in cord blood confrmed an impact of common behavioral and environmental factors but not of in vitro conception. Clin Epigenetics. 2018;10:77.
- <span id="page-13-38"></span>50. Guida V, Calzari L, Fadda MT, Piceci-Sparascio F, Digilio MC, Bernardini L, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation analysis of a cohort of 41 patients afected by Oculo-auriculo-vertebral spectrum (OAVS). Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(3):1190.
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>51. Spada E, Calzari L, Corsaro L, Fazia T, Mencarelli M, Di Blasio AM, et al. Epigenome wide association and stochastic epigenetic mutation analysis on cord blood of preterm birth. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(14):5044.
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>52. Zhan X, Hu Y, Li B, Abecasis GR, Liu DJ. RVTESTS: an efficient and comprehensive tool for rare variant association analysis using sequence data. Bioinformatics. 2016;32(9):1423–6.
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>53. Li XK, Tu B, Zhang XA, Xu W, Chen JH, Zhao GY, et al. Dysregulation of glutamine/glutamate metabolism in COVID-19 patients: a metabolism study in African population and mini meta-analysis. J Med Virol. 2023;95(1): e28150.
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>54. Boldrini M, Canoll PD, Klein RS. How COVID-19 Afects the Brain. JAMA Psychiat. 2021;78(6):682–3.
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>55. Yesilkaya UH, Sen M, Balcioglu YH. COVID-19-related cognitive dysfunction may be associated with transient disruption in the DLPFC glutamatergic pathway. J Clin Neurosci. 2021;87:153–5.
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>56. Vezyroglou A, Akilapa R, Barwick K, Koene S, Brownstein CA, Holder-Espinasse M, et al. The phenotypic continuum of ATP1A3-related disorders. Neurology. 2022;99(14):e1511–26.
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>57. Balestrini S, Mikati MA, Alvarez-Garcia-Roves R, Carboni M, Hunanyan AS, Kherallah B, et al. Cardiac phenotype in ATP1A3-related syndromes: a multicenter cohort study. Neurology. 2020;95(21):e2866–79.
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>58. Kuang SY, Li Y, Yang SL, Han X. Child neurology: aicardi-goutieres syndrome presenting as recurrent ischemic stroke. Neurology. 2022;99(9):393–8.
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>59. Rice G, Patrick T, Parmar R, Taylor CF, Aeby A, Aicardi J, et al. Clinical and molecular phenotype of Aicardi–Goutieres syndrome. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81(4):713–25.
- <span id="page-13-31"></span>60. Wang Q, Xiong F, Wu G, Wang D, Liu W, Chen J, et al. SMAD proteins in TGF-beta signalling pathway in cancer: regulatory mechanisms and clinical applications. Diagnostics (Basel). 2023;13(17):2769.
- <span id="page-13-32"></span>61. Lai LYS, Gracie NP, Gowripalan A, Howell LM, Newsome TP. SMAD proteins: mediators of diverse outcomes during infection. Eur J Cell Biol. 2022;101(2): 151204.
- <span id="page-13-33"></span>62. Shamilov R, Aneskievich BJ. TNIP1 in autoimmune diseases: regulation of toll-like receptor signaling. J Immunol Res. 2018;2018:3491269.
- <span id="page-13-34"></span>63. Islam T, Rahman MR, Aydin B, Beklen H, Arga KY, Shahjaman M. Integrative transcriptomics analysis of lung epithelial cells and identifcation of repurposable drug candidates for COVID-19. Eur J Pharmacol. 2020;887: 173594.
- <span id="page-13-35"></span>64. Murray NR, Kalari KR, Fields AP. Protein kinase Ciota expression and oncogenic signaling mechanisms in cancer. J Cell Physiol. 2011;226(4):879–87.
- <span id="page-13-36"></span>65. Rosse C, Linch M, Kermorgant S, Cameron AJ, Boeckeler K, Parker PJ. PKC and the control of localized signal dynamics. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2010;11(2):103–12.
- <span id="page-13-37"></span>66. Fang Y, Jiang Q, Li S, Zhu H, Xu R, Song N, et al. Opposing functions of beta-arrestin 1 and 2 in Parkinson's disease via microglia infammation and Nprl3. Cell Death Difer. 2021;28(6):1822–36.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>67. Jiang T, Yu JT, Wang YL, Wang HF, Zhang W, Hu N, et al. The genetic variation of ARRB2 is associated with late-onset Alzheimer's disease in Han Chinese. Curr Alzheimer Res. 2014;11(4):408–12.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>68. Mathieu NM, Nakagawa P, Grobe CC, Reho JJ, Brozoski DT, Lu KT, et al. ARRB2 (beta-Arrestin-2) defciency alters fuid homeostasis and blood pressure regulation. Hypertension. 2022;79(11):2480–92.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>69. Ren H, Liu Y, Tan Z, Luo G, Zhang M, Li S, et al. A common variant of ARRB2 promoter region associated with the prognosis of heart failure. Hum Hered. 2023;88(1):68–78.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>70. Zhou B, Song H, Xu W, Zhang Y, Liu Y, Qi W. The comprehensive analysis of hub gene ARRB2 in prostate cancer. Dis Markers. 2022;2022:8518378.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>71. Zhang Y, Li M, Li L, Qian G, Wang Y, Chen Z, et al. beta-arrestin 2 as an activator of cGAS-STING signaling and target of viral immune evasion. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):6000.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>72. Wang Y, Gandy S. The Golgi apparatus: Site for convergence of COVID-19 brain fog and Alzheimer's disease? Mol Neurodegener. 2022;17(1):67.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>73. Thayer DA, Jan YN, Jan LY. Increased neuronal activity fragments the Golgi complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110(4):1482–7.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>74. Franzen J, Nuchtern S, Tharmapalan V, Vieri M, Nikolic M, Han Y, et al. Epigenetic clocks are not accelerated in COVID-19 patients. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(17):9306.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>75. Cao X, Li W, Wang T, Ran D, Davalos V, Planas-Serra L, et al. Accelerated biological aging in COVID-19 patients. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):2135.
- 76. Bejaoui Y, Humaira Amanullah F, Saad M, Taleb S, Bradic M, Megarbane A, et al. Epigenetic age acceleration in surviving versus deceased COVID-19 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome following hospitalization. Clin Epigenetics. 2023;15(1):186.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>77. Sanchez-Vazquez R, Guio-Carrion A, Zapatero-Gaviria A, Martinez P, Blasco MA. Shorter telomere lengths in patients with severe COVID-19 disease. Aging (Albany NY). 2021;13(1):1–15.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>78. Mongelli A, Barbi V, Gottardi Zamperla M, Atlante S, Forleo L, Nesta M, et al. Evidence for biological age acceleration and telomere shortening in COVID-19 survivors. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(11):6151.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>79. Li Y, Tollefsbol TO. Age-related epigenetic drift and phenotypic plasticity loss: implications in prevention of age-related human diseases. Epigenomics. 2016;8(12):1637–51.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>80. Brusati A, Peverelli S, Calzari L, Tiloca C, Casiraghi V, Sorce MN, et al. Exploring epigenetic drift and rare epivariations in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis by epigenome-wide association study. Front Aging Neurosci. 2023;15:1272135.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>81. Chen GK, Yan Q, Paul KC, Kusters CDJ, Folle AD, Furlong M, et al. Stochastic epigenetic mutations infuence Parkinson's disease risk, progression, and mortality. J Parkinsons Dis. 2022;12(2):545–56.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>82. Fernandez MV, Kim JH, Budde JP, Black K, Medvedeva A, Saef B, et al. Analysis of neurodegenerative Mendelian genes in clinically diagnosed Alzheimer disease. PLoS Genet. 2017;13(11): e1007045.
- 83. Vojinovic D, Brison N, Ahmad S, Noens I, Pappa I, Karssen LC, et al. Variants in TTC25 affect autistic trait in patients with autism spectrum disorder and general population. Eur J Human Genet EJHG. 2017;25(8):982–7.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>84. Zhao M, Ma J, Li M, Zhu W, Zhou W, Shen L, et al. Different responses to risperidone treatment in Schizophrenia: a multicenter genome-wide association and whole exome sequencing joint study. Transl Psychiatry. 2022;12(1):173.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>85. Jahani M, Dokaneheifard S, Mansouri K. Hypoxia: a key feature of COVID-19 launching activation of HIF-1 and cytokine storm. J Infamm (Lond). 2020;17:33.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>86. Josuttis D, Schwedler C, Heymann G, Gumbel D, Schmittner MD, Kruse M, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor as potential biomarker for COVID-19 severity. J Intensive Care Med. 2023;38(12):1165–73.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>87. Melincovici CS, Bosca AB, Susman S, Marginean M, Mihu C, Istrate M, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF): key factor in normal and pathological angiogenesis. Rom J Morphol Embryol. 2018;59(2):455–67.
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>88. Pine AB, Meizlish ML, Goshua G, Chang CH, Zhang H, Bishai J, et al. Circulating markers of angiogenesis and endotheliopathy in COVID-19. Pulm Circ. 2020;10(4):2045894020966547.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>89. Smadja DM, Philippe A, Bory O, Gendron N, Beauvais A, Gruest M, et al. Placental growth factor level in plasma predicts COVID-19 severity and in-hospital mortality. J Thromb Haemost. 2021;19(7):1823–30.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>90. Rovas A, Osiaevi I, Buscher K, Sackarnd J, Tepasse PR, Fobker M, et al. Microvascular dysfunction in COVID-19: the MYSTIC study. Angiogenesis. 2021;24(1):145–57.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>91. He X, Liu C, Peng J, Li Z, Li F, Wang J, et al. COVID-19 induces new-onset insulin resistance and lipid metabolic dysregulation via regulation of secreted metabolic factors. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2021;6(1):427.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>92. Bader SM, Cooney JP, Pellegrini M, Doerfinger M. Programmed cell death: The pathways to severe COVID-19? Biochem J. 2022;479(5):609–28.
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>93. Phetsouphanh C, Darley DR, Wilson DB, Howe A, Munier CML, Patel SK, et al. Immunological dysfunction persists for 8 months following initial mild-to-moderate SARS-CoV-2 infection. Nat Immunol. 2022;23(2):210–6.
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>94. Taeschler P, Adamo S, Deng Y, Cervia C, Zurbuchen Y, Chevrier S, et al. T-cell recovery and evidence of persistent immune activation 12 months after severe COVID-19. Allergy. 2022;77(8):2468–81.
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>95. Morris TJ, Butcher LM, Feber A, Teschendorff AE, Chakravarthy AR, Wojdacz TK, et al. ChAMP: 450k chip analysis methylation pipeline. Bioinformatics. 2014;30(3):428–30.
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>96. Zhou W, Laird PW, Shen H. Comprehensive characterization, annotation and innovative use of Infnium DNA methylation BeadChip probes. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45(4): e22.
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>97. Wang M, Huang J, Liu Y, Ma L, Potash JB, Han S. COMBAT: a combined association test for genes using summary statistics. Genetics. 2017;207(3):883–91.
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>Muller F, Scherer M, Assenov Y, Lutsik P, Walter J, Lengauer T, et al. RnBeads 2.0: comprehensive analysis of DNA methylation data. Genome Biol. 2019;20(1):55.
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>99. Ren X, Kuan PF. methylGSA: a Bioconductor package and Shiny app for DNA methylation data length bias adjustment in gene set testing. Bioinformatics. 2019;35(11):1958–9.
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>100. Chang X, Wang K. wANNOVAR: annotating genetic variants for personal genomes via the web. J Med Genet. 2012;49(7):433–6.
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>101. Liao Y, Wang J, Jaehnig EJ, Shi Z, Zhang B. WebGestalt 2019: gene set analysis toolkit with revamped UIs and APIs. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(W1):W199–205.
- <span id="page-14-33"></span>102. Stelzer G, Plaschkes I, Oz-Levi D, Alkelai A, Olender T, Zimmerman S, et al. VarElect: the phenotype-based variation prioritizer of the GeneCards Suite. BMC Genom. 2016;17(Suppl 2):444.
- <span id="page-14-34"></span>103. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(17):2190–1.

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.