RESEARCH

Transcriptomic profiling disclosed the role of DNA methylation and histone modifications in tumor-infiltrating myeloidderived suppressor cell subsets in colorectal cancer

(2020) 12:13

Varun Sasidharan Nair¹, Reem Saleh¹, Salman M. Toor¹, Rowaida Z. Taha¹, Ayman A. Ahmed², Mohamed A. Kurer², Khaled Murshed³, Nehad M. Alajez¹, Mohamed Abu Nada² and Eyad Elkord^{1,4*}

Abstract

Background: Increased numbers of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are positively correlated with poor prognosis and reduced survivals of cancer patients. They play central roles in tumor immune evasion and tumor metastasis. However, limited data are available on phenotypic/transcriptomic characteristics of the different MDSCs subsets in cancer. These cells include immature (I-MDSCs), monocytic (M-MDSCs), and polymorphonuclear/ granulocytic (PMN-MDSCs).

Methods: Phenotypic characterization of myeloid subsets from 27 colorectal cancer (CRC) patients was assessed by flow cytometric analyses. RNA-sequencing of sorted I-MDSCs, PMN-MDSCs, and antigen-presenting cells (APCs) was also performed.

Results: We found that the levels of I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs were increased in tumor tissues (TT), compared with normal tissues (NT) in colorectal cancer. Our functional annotation analyses showed that genes associated with histone deacetylase (HDAC) activation- and DNA methylation-mediated transcriptional silencing were upregulated, and histone acetyl transferase (HAT)-related genes were downregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs. Moreover, pathways implicated in cell trafficking and immune suppression, including Wnt, interleukin-6 (IL-6), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, were upregulated in I-MDSCs. Notably, PMN-MDSCs showed downregulation in genes related to DNA methylation and HDAC binding. Using an ex vivo model, we found that inhibition of HDAC activation or neutralization of IL-6 in CRC tumor tissues downregulates the expression of genes associated with immunosuppression and myeloid cell chemotaxis, confirming the importance of HDAC activation and IL-6 signaling pathway in MDSC function and chemotaxis.

Conclusions: This study provides novel insights into the epigenetic regulations and other molecular pathways in different myeloid cell subsets within the CRC tumor microenvironment (TME), giving opportunities to potential targets for therapeutic benefits.

Keywords: Myeloid-derived suppressor cells, Colorectal cancer, Transcriptomic profile, DNA methylation, Histone modifications

* Correspondence: eelkord@hbku.edu.qa; e.elkord@salford.ac.uk

Qatar

⁴Biomedical Research Center, School of Science, Engineering and Environment, University of Salford, Manchester, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Sasidharan Nair et al. Clinical Epigenetics



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.



heck for

Open Access

¹Cancer Research Center, Qatar Biomedical Research Institute (QBRI), Hamad Bin Khalifa University (HBKU), Qatar Foundation (QF), P.O. Box 34110, Doha,

Background

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common cancer worldwide associated with high morbidity rates every year [1]. Inflammation is recognized as a key driver for CRC pathogenesis [2]. In inflammation-related cancers, myelopoiesis is disrupted and leads to accumulation of a heterogeneous population of myeloid cells, halted at varying stages of maturation/differentiation and with a potent immunosuppressive activity, referred to as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) [3, 4]. MDSCs express myeloid markers but lack expression of MHC class II molecule, HLA-DR, and are mainly divided into three phenotypically distinct subpopulations; CD33⁺HLA-DR^{-/low}CD14⁻CD15⁻ early-stage, or immature MDSCs (e-MDSC/I-MDSC), which consist of immature myeloid progenitors; CD33+HLA-DR-/lowCD14+CD15- monocytic MDSCs (M-MDSCs), which represent suppressive monocytes; and CD33⁺HLA-DR^{-/low}CD14⁻CD15⁺ polymorphonuclear or granulocytic MDSCs (PMN-MDSCs or G-MDSCs), which are phenotypically distinct from mature neutrophils and possess strong suppressive activity [3, 5-7].

MDSCs have been implicated in the pathogenesis of cancer [5, 8], where they act as potent suppressors of T cell-mediated responses against tumor cells within the tumor microenvironment (TME) [8] and lymphoid organs [9]. MDSCs enhance the progression of various types of tumors by promoting immune suppression, cancerassociated fibroblasts (CAF) activation, angiogenesis, and

tumor growth and metastasis [10] via the expression of co-inhibitory receptors, such as PD-L1, and the release of a vast array of molecules, such as arginase-1, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), nitric oxide (NO), and reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (ROS/RNS) [11, 12]. Additionally, the number of circulating MDSCs has been positively correlated with poor prognosis and low survival rates in cancer patients, including those with CRC [13–15]. However, limited studies have explored the molecular/functional characteristics of different MDSC populations. In addition, the underlying mechanisms behind gene transcriptional regulation and signal transduction in these populations remain to be elucidated. Further insights into these mechanisms could provide potential candidates to target MDSC populations in cancer.

In this study, we performed flow cytometric analyses of MDSC subpopulations in the CRC TME. The overview of the study design and analyses pipelines is depicted in Fig. 1. We found that PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs were higher in CRC tumor tissues, compared with normal colon tissues. Moreover, the levels of these cells were higher than M-MDSCs in the TME. Additionally, we examined and transcriptomic profiling of I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs in TT versus adjacent NT using RNA-Seq analysis. We performed two-way comparisons of each population; firstly, we compared I-MDSCs or PMN-MDSCs in TT with corresponding NT, and secondly, we compared I-MDSCs or PMN-MDSCs



in TT with APCs (the latter used as a control) in TT. Interestingly, our functional pathway analyses showed that epigenetic alterations, including DNA methylation (a common epigenetic mechanism by which gene transcription is repressed [16, 17]) and histone posttranslational modifications (mediated either by histone deacetylases (HDACs) or histone acetyl transferases (HATs) differ from one myeloid cell population to another. Our RNA-Seq data showed that HDAC- and DNA methylation-related genes were significantly upregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs, while HATrelated genes were downregulated. On the other hand, HDAC- and DNA methylation-related genes were significantly downregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs. Moreover, Wnt, IL-6, MAPK, SNARE, JNK, and HIF-1 pathways were upregulated in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs, suggesting their potential involvement in cell differentiation and tumor progression. In this study, we also investigated the involvement of HDAC activation and IL-6 in regulating the suppressive function of MDSCs using an ex vivo model. Of note, further functional studies are warranted.

Results

Elevated levels of PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs in CRC tumor tissues

It has been reported that tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs are expanded in colorectal tumor tissue, compared with normal colon tissue [13]. Here, we investigated the levels of PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, M-MDSCs, and APCs in TT, compared with NT from the same CRC patients. The characteristic features of the study population are shown in Table 1. We determined the level of the different myeloid cell subsets in the TME (Fig. 2) and characterized their molecular/transcriptomic profiles. Cells were isolated from NT and TT

Table 1 Characteristic features of study po	pulations
---	-----------

	CRC patients
Number	27 (2)*
Age	59 (31–96) [†]
Gender (male:female)	20:7
TNM stage	
1	2
II	8 (1)*
III	14 (1)*
IV	3
Histological grade	
G2—moderately differentiated	All samples

CRC colorectal cancer

*Samples used for transcriptomic profiling of tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells

[†]Data shown represent median (range)

of 27 CRC patients and flow cytometric analyses were performed for their phenotypical characterization. We did not find any significant differences in the relative percentages between TT and NT of CD33+HLA-DR^{-/low}CD14⁻CD15⁺ PMN-MDSCs (53.1 ± 4.2 vs 51.0 ± 3.7), CD33⁺HLA-DR^{-/low}CD14⁻CD15⁻ I-MDSCs (40.5 ± 5.0 vs 40.9 ± 3.7), CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻CD14⁺ M-MDSCs $(3.8 \pm 1.0 \text{ vs } 6.8 \pm 2.0)$, and CD33⁺HLA-DR⁺CD14⁺ APCs $(34.3 \pm 5.1 \text{ vs } 36.6 \pm 6.0)$ (Fig. 2a). Therefore, we compared the absolute numbers of these subsets between TT and NT (Fig. 2a). We found that the absolute numbers of PMN-MDSCs were significantly higher in TT compared to NT (2272.0 \pm 526.0 vs 1384.0 \pm 383.5, Fig. 2b). However, although the absolute numbers of I-MDSCs were higher in TT compared to NT, the data did not reach statistical significance (1467.0 ± 490.7 vs 975.8 \pm 230.9, Fig. 2b). Moreover, there were no significant differences in the absolute numbers of M-MDSCs and APCs between TT and NT (Fig. 2b). In addition, we compared the overall levels of PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, and M-MDSCs in TT and found that the relative percentages and absolute numbers of PMN-MDSCs, followed by I-MDSCs were significantly higher than M-MDSCs in the CRC TME (Fig. 2c). Visualization of the different myeloid cell subsets in NT and TT is depicted in Fig. 2d. We generated t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) plots for markers of myeloid cell subsets and confirmed that PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs were higher in TT, while APCs showed similar levels and M-MDSCs were present at very low levels in NT and TT.

Next, we selected six CRC patients to sort different myeloid cell subpopulations (I-MDSCs, PMN-MDSCs, and APCs) from TT and NT and analyze their transcriptional profiling using RNA-Seq. However, the library preparation and subsequent RNA-sequencing were succeeded from two patients only (#07 and #08). Gating strategy for sorting these subpopulations from the two patients is shown in Fig. 2e and f.

Genes associated with HDAC activation, DNA methylation, and IL-6 signaling pathway are upregulated in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs

A study showed that I-MDSCs can migrate into the TME and differentiate into the highly immune suppressive tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) and contribute to tumor progression by inhibiting the adaptive anti-tumor immune response and enhancing tumor growth/metastasis [18]. Here, we analyzed the differential gene expression in I-MDSCs isolated from TT, compared with those isolated from NT. The hierarchal clustering of differentially expressed transcripts showed a distinct cluster of I-MDSCs in NT and TT (Fig. 3a and Additional file 2: Table S1). Seven hundred ninety-eight

Α

(%)

Cells

В

С

Cells (%)



CD33⁺HLA-DR⁺CD14⁺ APCs in NT and TT from 27 CRC patients (a). Scatter plots show differences in absolute numbers of PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, M-MDSCs, and APCs in NT and TT from 27 CRC patients (b). Scatter plots show differences in relative percentages and absolute numbers of PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, and M-MDSCs in TT from 27 CRC patients (c). Flow cytometric data were merged to create single t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE) maps to show PMN-MDSCs (denoted as PMN), I-MDSCs (denoted as I), M-MDSCs (denoted as M), and APCs in NT and TT (d). Representative flow cytometric plots show the gating strategy employed to define and sort PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, M-MDSCs, and APCs from two CRC patients #07 (e) and #08 (f)

upregulated and 637 downregulated transcripts were identified in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs, compared with those found in NT (with a fold of change > 2, P value cutoff < 0.05). Functional annotation analyses showed that upregulated genes are involved in histone deubiquitination (6 genes), activation of Wnt signaling pathway (4 genes), activation of IL-6 pathway (3 genes), activation of MAPK signaling (5 genes), transcriptional repressors (13 genes), DNA methylation (29 genes), and HDAC activation (44 genes) (Fig. 3b, c and Additional file 3: Table S2). Interestingly, epigenetic alterations such as post-translational histone modifications were predominant in I-MDSCs (Fig. 3b, c). We found that 148 of upregulated genes in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs are involved in HDAC activation and 50 upregulated genes are associated with DNA methylation (Fig. 3b, c). Studies showed that activation of IL-6 [19] and Wnt signaling pathways [20] can promote the trafficking of MDSCs to the TME. In line with this, our data showed that 10 genes associated with IL-6 pathway and 12 genes related to Wnt signaling are significantly upregulated in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs, compared with those found in NT

(Fig. 3b. c). This implies a potential role of IL-6 and Wnt signaling pathways (Fig. 4a, b) in the biology of tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs. Notably, 112 genes related to HAT activity and 12 genes related to myeloid differentiation were significantly downregulated in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs, compared with I-MDSCs in NT (Fig. 3b, c).

Collectively, our data show that the transcriptional profile of tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs could be altered by histone post-translational modifications induced by HDAC activation, and/or DNA methylation. In addition, we speculate that I-MDSCs promote tumorigenesis by activating signaling pathways, such as Wnt and IL-6 pathways, which in turn enhance MDSCs recruitment to the TME and support MDSCs suppressive function.

Genes associated with chemotaxis, cell migration, and anti-apoptosis are upregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-**MDSCs**

Next, we investigated the differential gene expression in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs. It has been reported that PMN-MDSCs expansion within the CRC tissue is



positively correlated with advanced disease stages and high histological grades, implying a role for PMN-MDSCs in CRC progression [13]. The hierarchal clustering of differentially expressed transcripts showed a distinct cluster of PMN-MDSCs isolated from NT and TT (Fig. 5a and Additional file 2: Table S1). Five hundred seventy-six upregulated and 785 downregulated transcripts were identified in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, compared with those found in NT (with a fold of change > 2 and P value cutoff < 0.05). Within the upregulated transcripts of PMN-MDSCs, 5 genes were related to chemotaxis induction, 60 genes were related to cell-cell signaling, 22 genes were related to antiapoptosis, 9 genes were related to cytokine-mediated signaling, 20 genes were related to proliferation and 4 genes were related to epithelial migration (Fig. 5b, c and Additional file 3: Table S2). Interestingly, 98 genes related to transcriptional regulation and 45 genes related to DNA methylation were significantly downregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, compared with those found in NT (Fig. 5b, c). In addition, our functional network analysis confirms that cell migration-related genes were upregulated in the PMN-MDSCs subpopulation found within the CRC microenvironment (Additional file 1: Figure S1).

Transcriptional regulation in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs is mediated via distinct epigenetic mechanisms

Next, we investigated the differential expression of transcripts in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs, compared to APCs. The hierarchal clustering of gene transcripts is depicted in Fig. 6a and Additional file 2: Table S1. We found 1802 transcripts that were differentially expressed in I-MDSCs. Out of 1802 transcripts, 1098 were significantly upregulated and 704 were downregulated in I-MDSCs (with a fold of change > 2 and *P* value cutoff < 0.05). Based on the network and functional annotation analyses, 17 genes from Wnt signaling, 41 genes from JNK pathway and 4 genes from SNARE complex activation were upregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs (Fig. 6b and Additional file 3: Table S2).

We also investigated the differences in the transcriptional profile between tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs



and APCs. The hierarchal clustering of PMN-MDSCs and APCs is shown in Fig. 6c and Additional file 2: Table S1. We found 1803 genes that were distinct in PMN-MDSCs, compared with APCs. Out of 1803, 1098 genes were significantly upregulated and 705 were downregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, compared with APCs (with a fold of change > 2 and *P* value cutoff < 0.05). Interestingly, 15 genes related to CRC

progression, 19 genes related to cell migration and 17 genes related to NF-kB/IL-1 β -mediated recruitment of MDSCs were upregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs (Fig. 6d and Additional file 3: Table S2). Moreover, 13 genes related to HDAC binding and 17 genes related to DNA methylation were significantly downregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs (Fig. 6d). Together, these results suggest that upregulation of



genes involved in cell migration and MDSCs recruitment in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs may occur as a result of HDAC inactivation and DNA demethylation.

Additionally, we compared the transcription profile between tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs. The hierarchal clustering is shown in Fig. 6e and Additional file 2: Table S1. We found that 1395 genes were significantly distinct in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs. Out of 1395, 633 genes were significantly upregulated and 762 were downregulated in tumorinfiltrating PMN-MDSCs. Functional annotation analyses showed that 6 genes related to tumor progression and 6 genes related to migration were significantly upregulated, while DNA methylation-related genes were significantly downregulated in PMN-MDSCs, compared with I-MDSCs (Fig. 6f and Additional file 3: Table S2). These data are consistent with our findings above (PMN-MDSCs TT vs. NT, Fig. 5; I-MDSCs TT vs. NT, Fig. 3). In addition, we found that genes associated with transcriptional regulation (KAT2B, OAS1, UST, CD38, and CELF2) and signal transduction (CD40 and RPA4) were differentially expressed in PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs (Fig. 6g). We validated the expression of the

genes above in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, I-MDSCs, and APCs (the latter used as a control) by RT-PCR. We found that mRNA expression levels in PMN-MDSCs vs. I-MDSCs (Fig. 6h) were consistent with RNA-Seq data (Fig. 6g). Statistical significance was obtained by comparing the mRNA expression levels in PMN-MDSCs vs. I-MDSCs (Fig. 6h). Interestingly, our functional network analysis shows that HIF-1 pathway was active in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs (Additional file 1: Figure S2). These data are consistent with previous reports demonstrating that HIF-1 α is essential for the infiltration and differentiation of myeloid cells within the TME [21, 22]. Additionally, we validated the functional clusters for patients #07 and #08 in four independent data sets (Additional file 1: Figure S3). Adhesion molecules, such as integrins, have been implicated in cancer progression [23]. It was reported that recruitment of neutrophils (a granulocytic subset that display similar phenotypic/functional characteristics as PMN-MDSCs) to tumor sites is associated with increased expression of CD11a integrin on neutrophils (also known as LFA-1) [24]. In this study, our data from RNA-Seq analysis showed that ITGAL



gene encoding CD11a integrin is upregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, compared with I-MDSCs (Additional file 1: Figure S4A). We validated this finding by flow cytometric analysis and showed that CD11a is highly expressed on tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, compared with I-MDSCs (Additional file 1: Figure S4B).

Genes associated with immunosuppression and myeloid cell recruitment in CRC tumor tissue are downregulated upon HDAC or IL-6 inhibition

Our results from RNA-Seq analysis suggested that genes associated with HDAC activation and IL-6 signaling pathway were upregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs, compared to those isolated from NT (Figs. 3 and 4). We utilized an explant culture model and cultured cells isolated from CRC tumor tissues to examine the effect of HDAC inhibition and IL-6 neutralization on the expression of genes related to MDSCs function or recruitment. We examined the expression of four HDAC genes in addition to ARG1 (encodes arginase 1 enzyme, which synthesizes nitric oxide), ITGAL (CD11a, which could be an important mediator for PMN-MDSCs migration into tumor tissue), and IDO (encodes indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase enzyme, which possess an immunosuppressive function). We also examined the expression of Wnt5a and Wnt5b as RNA-Seq data indicated the potential importance of Wnt signaling in I-MDSCs biology/function. Briefly, cells from CRC tumor tissue were cultured in the presence of LPS pre-treated or untreated with HDAC inhibitor or anti-IL-6. HDAC inhibitor and anti-IL-6 mAb were added to

cell culture every other day. Of note, LPS was used as a mitogen to retrieve/enhance the survival of myeloid cells and induce their activation [25]. On day 5, gene expression analysis was performed using RT-PCR. The addition of HDAC inhibitor did not alter the expression level of HDAC1 and HDAC4 mRNA. However, the expression of HDAC2 and HDAC3 were reduced, compared to LPS alone (Fig. 7a). HDAC inhibitor significantly reduced the expression of ARG1, CCR2 (monocyte chemokine receptor), and ITGAL, compared with LPS alone (Fig. 7b). HDAC inhibition had no effects on the expression level of IDO, Wnt5a, and Wnt5b (Fig. 7b). The neutralization of IL-6 significantly reduced the mRNA levels of ARG1, CCR2, and ITGAL (Fig. 7c). IL-6 neutralization, however, did no not alter the expression level of IDO, Wnt5a, and Wnt5b (Fig. 7c). Collectively, these results indicate the importance of HDAC activation and IL-6 signaling pathways in regulating immunosuppression and myeloid cell recruitment to CRC tumor tissues.

Page 9 of 15

Discussion

Tumor-infiltrating MDSCs are key players, which negatively modulate the anti-tumor immune response, and promote angiogenesis and tumor growth/metastasis [26, 27]. Elevated levels of circulating and tumorinfiltrating PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs have been detected in CRC patients [13, 28]. Additionally, the expansion of tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs in CRC patients has been positively correlated with advanced disease stages and high histological grades [13]. However, the phenotypic and molecular characteristics of these MDSCs subsets and their role in CRC progression remain elusive. Therefore, we investigated the transcriptional profiles and functional characteristics of I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs within the CRC microenvironment.

The phenotypic and functional characterization of MDSCs is widely known to be challenging due to the heterogeneous nature of these cells [27]. In this study, we utilized myeloid cell markers to identify the different



subsets of MDSCs, to calculate their levels in colorectal tissues as previously described [13]. We found that the numbers of PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs are higher in colorectal tissues, compared to adjacent normal colorectal tissues. This falls in agreement with other reports demonstrating the expansion of different MDSCs subsets in the TME of several malignancies [29, 30].

In this study, transcriptional profiling analyses of I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs revealed novel mechanisms/ signaling pathways, which may govern the MDSCsmediated immune suppression and tumor progression in CRC. Our canonical and functional pathway analyses of I-MDSCs showed that Wnt, IL-6, and MAPK signaling pathways are significantly upregulated in TT, compared with NT, suggesting a role of these pathways in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs within the CRC microenvironment. The involvement of Wnt signaling in CRC pathogenesis and progression has been previously established [31–33]. Using a co-culture system with breast cancer cell lines, Pukrop et al. showed that Wnt signaling is crucial for macrophage-mediated tumor invasiveness and tumor cell migration via the production of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [34]. Wnt pathway has been shown to antagonize the recruitment and differentiation of MDSCs in tumor sites [35]. However, our data suggest a potential role of Wnt signaling in one MDSCs subset (I-MDSCs) from CRC tissues. This discrepancy could be due to the heterogeneity of MDSCs and suggests that signaling pathways could impact subsets of MDSCs differentially. The importance of IL-6 signaling pathway in MDSCs recruitment and immune suppression has been previously demonstrated [30]. Using breast cancer in vitro and in vivo models, Jiang et al. reported that exogenous IL-6 augments the accumulation of MDSCs and enhances T cell suppression by inhibiting SOCS3 pathway [19]. In another study, it was demonstrated that IL-6 upregulates the expression of indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) enzyme via NF-KB activation, thereby enhancing the immunosuppressive function of MDSCs in breast cancer [36]. MAPK signaling is another pathway, which we found to be significantly upregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs from CRC patients. Studies have demonstrated that MAPK signaling pathway is essential for the activation and differentiation of myeloid cells [37, 38]. By combining these findings, we could anticipate that I-MDSCs in CRC microenvironment are activated and recruited to tumor sites where they differentiate into mature phenotypes and become immune suppressive under the influence of MAPK- and IL-6-mediated signaling pathways. Targeting these pathways in I-MDSCs could suppress their activity and reduce their accumulation within the TME, which in turn may improve the anti-tumor immune response and diminish tumor growth/metastasis.

Maintaining transcriptional homeostasis requires a dynamic equilibrium of HAT and HDAC activity, where the former favors gene transcription and the latter suppresses it [39]. In pathological conditions, including cancer, this equilibrium can be dysregulated by certain factors, causing either the silencing of tumor suppressor genes or inducing the expression of genes promoting tumor growth/metastasis and immunosuppression [40-43]. Based on functional annotation analyses, we found that 44% of HDAC- and 29% of DNA methylationrelated genes along with 13% of transcriptional repressors were upregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs. Notably, 90% of HAT-related genes were downregulated in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs. These data indicate that transcriptional regulation in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs could be controlled by DNA methylation and posttranslational histone modifications, possibly, mediated by HDAC activation and the suppression of HAT activity. Such epigenetic changes can be responsible for downregulating genes involved in tumor suppression or T cell activation [44, 45]. Unlike I-MDSCs, we found that DNA methylation- and HDAC-related genes were downregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs, suggesting that the regulation of gene expression in these MDSCs subsets is governed via differing epigenetic mechanisms. Therefore, we could propose a novel therapeutic approach by which specific populations of MDSCs can be targeted in CRC. Rather than endemic targeting of MDSCs, targeting specific populations of MDSCs by the use of appropriate epigenetic modifiers could be employed to design a well-targeted therapy for CRC patients.

Interestingly, we found that genes of JNK- and SNARE-mediated pathways were upregulated in tumorinfiltrating I-MDSCs, compared with APCs. These pathways could have a functional impact on I-MDSCs activity and CRC progression. Han et al. reported the upregulation of JNK pathway in tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells, from mouse liver cancer model, is crucial for promoting disease pathogenesis and progression [46]. Based on animal studies, it was suggested that specific targeting of JNK in myeloid cells could offer therapeutic benefits for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma [46]. In addition, JNK signaling pathway in myeloid cells has been associated with the production of Treg chemoattractant agents, such as CCL17 and CCL22 [47], indicating its potential role in MDSCs suppressive function. SNARE proteins have been associated with the regulation of cytokine secretion macrophages and dendritic cells, and inhibition of phagocytosis and antigen presentation [48], suggesting their potential function in I-MDSCs via similar means.

Our functional annotation and transcriptomic analyses showed that genes related to cell-cell signaling, cell proliferation, anti-apoptosis, and cellular migration were upregulated in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs. These data imply the potential of PMN-MDSCs function in CRC progression, which may involve the enhancement of tumor growth/metastasis and immune cell trafficking. Consistent with this, Ouzounova et al. reported that enrichment of lung TME with activated PMN-MDSCs was associated with increased expression of genes promoting tumor growth and metastasis [49]. Within the CRC microenvironment, we found that NF-kB- and IL-1B production-related genes were upregulated in PMN-MDSCs, compared with APCs. It has been reported that tumor-derived IL-1ß enhances MDSCs infiltration, accumulation, and immunosuppressive activity within the TME, thereby promoting tumor progression [38, 50]. Another study by Tu et al. showed that IL-1 β overexpression induces gastric inflammation and cancer through the activation of NF-KB, resulting in the accumulation of MDSCs within tumor sites [51]. In turn, NF-KB activation exerts an anti-inflammatory function on MDSCs, enhances MDSCs infiltration in tumor sites by regulating chemotaxis [52], and induces immunosuppression by upregulating the expression of IDO [36]. Therefore, we could suggest that the suppressive activity of tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs in CRC is positively regulated by IL-1 β /NF- κ B signaling pathway.

Hypoxia is a common feature of solid tumors, which arise from reduced levels of oxygen and nutrient supply as a result of tumor outgrowth [53]. Hypoxia can mediate resistance to chemotherapy, promote tumor metastasis, and enhance MDSCs accumulation within TME [53]. Kumar et al. reported that the upregulation of HIF- 1α enhances the immunosuppressive activity of myeloid cells and promotes the rapid conversion of MDSCs to tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) [53] via the upregulation of iNOS and arginase [35]. In addition, HIF- 1α can bind to the promotor of PD-L1, co-inhibitory receptor, and increase its expression on myeloid cells has been demonstrated [35]. In our study, the upregulation of HIF-1 pathway was more profound in I-MDSCs (immature myeloid cells), compared with PMN-MDSCs (mature myeloid cells), suggesting the potential importance of this pathway in I-MDSCs function/biology. On these grounds, we could speculate that I-MDSCs differentiate into the mature phenotype, TAMs, by upregulating HIF-1 pathway. In renal carcinoma, the upregulation of HIF-1 and constitutive activation of HIF-1 pathway occur due to a loss of tumor suppressor gene/function, von Hippel-Lindau (VHL), either by mutation or hypermethylation [54]. This subsequently results in the expression of angiogenic factors and genes promoting disease oncogenesis [54]. This is consistent with the finding that I-MDSCs regulate gene transcription by DNA methylation, which might be associated with tumor suppressor gene silencing. Employing therapeutic strategies to target HIF-1 could be beneficial in reducing the suppressive activity of MDSCs and the differentiation of TAMs, which ultimately should improve antitumor responses in patients. Using an ex vivo approach, we confirmed the importance of HDAC activation and IL-6 signaling pathway in regulating the expression of genes associated with MDSC suppressive function, such as ARG1, and genes related to myeloid cell chemotaxis, such as CCR2 and ITGAL.

Conclusions

This study provides novel insights into the epigenetic mechanisms and signaling pathways regulating the transcriptional profile, and perhaps the function, of different myeloid cell subsets within the CRC TME. Furthermore, findings from this study indicate the importance of HDAC activation and IL-6 signaling pathway in regulating MDSC suppressive function and perhaps the recruitment of MDSCs to the CRC microenvironment.

Methods

Sample collection and storage

Tumor tissues (TT) and paired, adjacent normal tissues (NT) were obtained from 27 CRC patients who underwent surgery at Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar. All patients included in the study were treatment-naïve prior to surgery and provided written informed consent prior to sample collection. Table 1 shows the clinical and pathological characteristics of all participating patients. All experiments were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations. This study was executed under ethical approvals from Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar (protocol no. MRC-02-18-012) and Qatar Biomedical Research Institute, Doha, Qatar (protocol no. 2018-018). Tissue specimens were cut into small pieces and frozen in 1 ml of freezing medium (10% dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA), 50% fetal calf serum (FCS; Hyclone, GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Utah, USA), and 40% RPMI-1640 medium (Life Technologies, New York, USA)), then stored in liquid nitrogen to be used in batches for subsequent analyses.

Cell dissociation

Cells were isolated from TT by mechanical disaggregation. Briefly, tissues frozen in freezing media were thawed and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then mechanically cut into small pieces ($\sim 2-4$ mm) using a surgical scalpel. Further disaggregation was performed on gentleMACS dissociator (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) without using any enzymes. The cell suspension was then passed through a 100- μ M cell strainer to remove debris and

aggregates. The single cell suspension was washed with PBS and stained for flow cytometric analyses and FACS sorting.

Multi-parametric flow cytometry

Cells isolated from tissues were washed with PBS and re-suspended in 100 µl flow cytometry staining buffer (PBS with 1% FCS and 0.1% sodium azide). Fc receptors (FcR) were first blocked using FcR Blocker (Miltenyi Biotech, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). 7-AAD viability dye (eBioscience, San Diego, USA) was used to gate live cells. Cells were then stained with cell surface antibodies against CD33-Fluorescein isothiocyanate (clone HIM3-4; BD Biosciences, Oxford, UK), CD14-phycoerythrin-Cy7 (clone M5E2; BD Biosciences), CD15-allophycocyanin (clone HI98; BioLegend, San Diego, USA), HLA DRphycoerythrin (clone G46-6; BD Biosciences) or CD11aphycoerythrin (clone G43-25B; BD Pharmingen, San Jose, USA) and incubated at 4 °C for 30 min. Cells were then washed twice with flow cytometry staining buffer and data were acquired by BD LSRFortessa X-20 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

For sorting, cells were re-suspended in Pre-Sort buffer (BD Biosciences). BD FACSAria III SORP cell sorter with BD FACSDiva software (BD Biosciences) was used. Applicable measures were taken to ensure minimal sorter-induced cell stress (SICS). Data analyses were performed on FlowJo V10 software (FlowJo, Ashland, USA).

Cell culture

Cells were isolated from TT by mechanical disaggregation, as described above. 0.5×10^6 cells per well were seeded onto a 24-well tissue culture-treated plate and cultured in complete RPMI-1640 medium (Life Technologies). Cells were untreated or pre-treated with 100 nM of HDAC inhibitor Vorinostat (SAHA, MK0683, Selleckchem, Texas, USA) or 2 µg/ml of anti-IL-6 monoclonal antibody (Sino Biological, Beijing, China) [55]. After a 2-h incubation period, 100 ng/ml of Lipopolysaccharides (LPS from *E. coli*, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to all the wells. Cells were kept in a humidified incubator at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 5 days. Every other day, HDAC inhibitor and anti-IL-6 mAb were added to the wells at the same concentration. Cells were harvested on day 5 post treatment for RNA extraction.

Library preparation

Pure 1000 CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻CD14⁻CD15⁻ (I-MDSCs), CD33⁺HLA-DR⁻CD14⁻CD15⁺ (PMN-MDSCs) and CD33⁺HLA-DR⁺CD14⁺CD15⁻ (APCs) cells were sorted from TT and NT. Cells were initially sorted from six CRC NT and TT samples. Library preparation and subsequent RNA-Seq were succeeded in subsets from two patients only (#07 and #08). This could be due to the lower percentage and lower expression level of MDSC subsets in some TT and NT samples. Similarly, CTLA-4⁺ and CTLA-4⁻ Tregs from head and neck cancer patients were compared in very small number of samples [56]. The cDNA libraries were generated using QIAseq FX Single Cell RNA Library Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, sorted cells were spun down and lysed immediately. The gDNA was then removed by using gDNA Wipeout buffer (Qiagen). The gDNA-removed lysates were used to generate double-stranded DNA, which were subsequently amplified using REPLI-g sc SensiPhi DNA polymerase. Small fractions of amplified products were cleaned using PureLink PCR Purification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, USA) and quality checked using Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Agilent Technologies, California, USA). The quality passed DNA (> 2000 bp) were quantified using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, California, USA). Five hundred nanograms to 1 µg DNA was enzymatically fragmented and ligated using paired adaptors. DNA was further purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, California, USA). The yield and size distribution of libraries (500–1000 bp) were determined using Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen) and Agilent High Sensitivity DNA Kit (Invitrogen).

RNA-sequencing data analyses

Pair end reads were quality-trimmed and were aligned to the hg19 human reference genome in CLC Genomics Workbench-12 (Qiagen) using default settings [57, 58]. The abundance of the expression of transcripts was measured as the score of TPM (transcripts per million) mapped reads in CLC Genomics Workbench 12. Abundance data were subsequently subjected to differential gene expression using built-in statistical analyses recommended in CLC Genomics protocol with 2.0-fold change and *P* value cutoff < 0.05.

Functional annotation analyses using DAVID platform

Database for Annotation, Visualization, and Integrated Discovery (DAVID) platform was used to analyze the functional annotation, in addition to Kyoto Encyclopedia of genes and Genomes (KEGG)/BioCarta network [59, 60]. The "Functional Annotation," "KEGG pathway," and "BioCarta" in the online version of DAVID 6.8 was performed (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/) by matching with *Homo sapiens* gene list. The upregulated genes and downregulated genes were trimmed with both expression consistency of individual genes (> 2 FC) and *P* value cutoff < 0.05. The upregulated genes and downregulated genes were uploaded separately and focused on the Gene-Ontology, Functional-Categories, Gene-Annotations and Pathways. To visualize the network pathways, we used both KEGG and BioCarta.

The related genes from functional analyses were depicted as heat plots.

RNA extraction and reverse transcription

Total RNA was extracted from the sorted tumorinfiltrating myeloid cell populations (APCs, I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs) isolated from six CRC patients (#05, 07, 08, 09, 44, and 53), using RNAqueous-Micro Total RNA isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Total RNA was also extracted from cultured cells isolated from tumor tissue of four CRC patients (#26, 56, 60, and 63) using Total RNA Purification Plus Micro Kit (Norgen, Ontario, Canada). RNA was then amplified using 5X MessageAmp II aRNA Amplification Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). RNA concentrations before and after amplification were determined by Qubit RNA HS and Broad Range Assay Kit, respectively (Invitrogen). One microgram of RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen).

Quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR)

qRT-PCR was performed using QuantStudio 6/7 Flex Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, California, USA) for KAT2B, CD40, RPA4, UST, CELF2, CD38, OAS1, HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, HDAC4, ARG1, IDO, ITGAL, CCR2, Wnt5a, Wnt5b, and β -actin with PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Quantification of relative gene expression was determined, using $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$, and normalized to β -actin. Sequences for the primers are shown in Additional file 2: Table S1.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software, California, USA). Paired *t* tests were performed on samples that passed the Shapiro-Wilk normality test and Mann-Whitney tests were performed for samples that did not show normal distribution. A *P* value of > 0.05 was considered statistically non-significant. The *P* values are represented as follows: ****P* < 0.001, ***P* < 0.01, and **P* < 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM).

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10. 1186/s13148-020-0808-9.

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Adherent junction pathway in tumorinfiltrating PMN-MDSCs. The upregulated genes in PMN-MDSCs from 2 patients were uploaded in DAVID to identify the biological pathways. Adherent junction is the top KEGG pathway regulated in PMN-MDSCs, compared with APCs within the TME. The black ovals highlight the KEGG identified functional pathways that are regulated by PMN-MDSCs, within the TME. The functional consequences of related genes are shown in red. Figure S2. HIF-1 signaling pathway in tumor-infiltrating I-MDSCs. The downregulated genes in PMN-MDSCs, compared with I-MDSCs from two patients were uploaded in DAVID to identify the biological pathways. HIF-1 signaling is the top KEGG pathway regulated in I-MDSCs, within the TME. The black ovals highlight the KEGG identified functional pathways that are regulated by I-MDSCs, within the TME. The functional consequences of related genes are shown in red. Figure S3. Validation of differential gene expression and functional network analyses of APCs, PMN-MDSCs and I-MDSCs in CRC patients. Heat maps show the TPM representing fold change to the mean expression of WNT signaling, SNARE signaling and JNK pathway activation in I-MDSCs (A). Heat maps show the TPM representing fold change relative to the mean expression of colorectal cancer-, cell migration-, NFkB-, IL-1β production-related genes in PMN-MDSCs, compared with APCs (B). Heat map shows the TPM representing fold change relative to the mean expression of tumor progression-, migration and metastasis- and DNA methylation-related genes in PMN-MDSCs (C). Results obtained from four CRC patients (#09, #12, #13, and #16). Figure S4. CD11a expression in tumor-infiltrating PMN-MDSCs. Heat map shows the TPM representing fold change relative to the mean expression of CD11a gene (ITGAL) in PMN-MDSCs (A). Cells isolated from TT of #07 and #08 patients were stained for myeloid cell markers and CD11a, and analyzed by flow cytometry. Representative flow cytometric plots show the gating strategy employed to identify I-MDSCs and PMN-MDSCs expressing CD11a (B).

Additional file 2: Table S1. Gene cluster analysis. Additional file 3: Table S2.: DAVID analysis

Abbreviations

CRC: Colorectal cancer; MDSCs: Myeloid-derived suppressor cells; I-MDSCs: Immature myeloid-derived suppressor cells; IL-6: Interleukin-6; MAPK: Mitogen-activated protein kinase; M-MDSCs: Monocytic myeloidderived suppressor cells; PMN-MDSCs: Polymorphonuclear/granulocytic myeloid-derived suppressor cells; APCs: Antigen-presenting cells; TME: Tumor microenvironment

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to patients for donating their samples. We also would like to thank the genomics core facility at Qatar Biomedical Research Institute for performing RNA-sequencing.

Authors' contributions

VN and RS performed experimental work, data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. ST and RT assisted in experimental work. AAA and MAK contributed to sample collection and analyzing the clinical data. KM helped in studying patients' samples and provided the clinicopathological data. NMA performed bioinformatics and data analysis and reviewed the manuscript. MAN contributed to the study design, sample collection, and reviewing the manuscript. EE conceived the idea, designed the study, supervised the project, analyzed and interpreted data, and wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors were involved in the final approval of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by a start-up grant [VR04] for Dr Eyad Elkord from Qatar Biomedical Research Institute, Qatar Foundation.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was executed under ethical approvals from Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar (protocol no. MRC-02-18-012), and Qatar Biomedical Research Institute, Doha, Qatar (protocol no. 2018-018).

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Cancer Research Center, Qatar Biomedical Research Institute (QBRI), Hamad Bin Khalifa University (HBKU), Qatar Foundation (QF), P.O. Box 34110, Doha,

Qatar. ²Department of Surgery, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar. ³Department of Pathology, Hamad Medical Corporation, Doha, Qatar. ⁴Biomedical Research Center, School of Science, Engineering and Environment, University of Salford, Manchester, UK.

Received: 12 October 2019 Accepted: 3 January 2020 Published online: 15 January 2020

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin. 2019; 69:7–34.
- Triantafillidis JK, Nasioulas G, Kosmidis PA. Colorectal cancer and inflammatory bowel disease: epidemiology, risk factors, mechanisms of carcinogenesis and prevention strategies. Anticancer Res. 2009;29:2727–37.
- Gabrilovich DI, Nagaraj S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells as regulators of the immune system. Nat Rev Immunol. 2009;9:162–74.
- 4. Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Sinha P. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells: linking inflammation and cancer. J Immunol. 2009;182:4499–506.
- Toor SM, Elkord E. Therapeutic prospects of targeting myeloid-derived suppressor cells and immune checkpoints in cancer. Immunol Cell Biol. 2018;96:888–97.
- Talmadge JE, Cole K, Britton H, Dafferner A, Warkentin P. Human myeloid derived suppressor cell (MDSC) subset phenotypes. The Journal of Immunology. 2017;198:211.212.
- Bronte V, Brandau S, Chen SH, Colombo MP, Frey AB, Greten TF, Mandruzzato S, Murray PJ, Ochoa A, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, et al. Recommendations for myeloid-derived suppressor cell nomenclature and characterization standards. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12150.
- Gabrilovich DI, Bronte V, Chen SH, Colombo MP, Ochoa A, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Schreiber H. The terminology issue for myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Res. 2007;67:425 author reply 426.
- Kumar V, Patel S, Tcyganov E, Gabrilovich DI. The nature of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment. Trends Immunol. 2016;37: 208–20.
- Gabrilovich DI, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Bronte V. Coordinated regulation of myeloid cells by tumours. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012;12:253–68.
- Toor SME, Elkord: Myeloid-derived suppressor cells. eLS John Wiley 2015. https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470015902.a0024245.
- Zhang Q, Peng C. Cancer-associated fibroblasts regulate the biological behavior of cancer cells and stroma in gastric cancer. Oncol Lett. 2018;15: 691–8.
- Toor SM, Syed Khaja AS, El Salhat H, Bekdache O, Kanbar J, Jaloudi M, Elkord E. Increased levels of circulating and tumor-infiltrating granulocytic myeloid cells in colorectal cancer patients. Front Immunol. 2016;7:560.
- Sun HL, Zhou X, Xue YF, Wang K, Shen YF, Mao JJ, Guo HF, Miao ZN. Increased frequency and clinical significance of myeloid-derived suppressor cells in human colorectal carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol. 2012;18:3303–9.
- Zhang B, Wang Z, Wu L, Zhang M, Li W, Ding J, Zhu J, Wei H, Zhao K. Circulating and tumor-infiltrating myeloid-derived suppressor cells in patients with colorectal carcinoma. PLoS One. 2013;8:e57114.
- Boyes J, Bird A. DNA methylation inhibits transcription indirectly via a methyl-CpG binding protein. Cell. 1991;64:1123–34.
- Curradi M, Izzo A, Badaracco G, Landsberger N. Molecular mechanisms of gene silencing mediated by DNA methylation. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22:3157–73.
- Kusmartsev S, Gabrilovich DI. Role of immature myeloid cells in mechanisms of immune evasion in cancer. Cancer Immunol Immunother. 2006;55:237–45.
- Jiang M, Chen J, Zhang W, Zhang R, Ye Y, Liu P, Yu W, Wei F, Ren X, Yu J. Interleukin-6 trans-signaling pathway promotes immunosuppressive myeloid-derived suppressor cells via suppression of suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 in breast cancer. Front Immunol. 2017;8:1840.
- Welte T, Kim IS, Tian L, Gao X, Wang H, Li J, Holdman XB, Herschkowitz JI, Pond A, Xie G, et al. Oncogenic mTOR signalling recruits myeloid-derived suppressor cells to promote tumour initiation. Nat Cell Biol. 2016;18:632–44.
- Chiu DK, Tse AP, Xu IM, Di Cui J, Lai RK, Li LL, Koh HY, Tsang FH, Wei LL, Wong CM, et al. Hypoxia inducible factor HIF-1 promotes myeloid-derived suppressor cells accumulation through ENTPD2/CD39L1 in hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Commun. 2017;8:517.
- Cramer T, Yamanishi Y, Clausen BE, Forster I, Pawlinski R, Mackman N, Haase VH, Jaenisch R, Corr M, Nizet V, et al. HIF-1alpha is essential for myeloid cellmediated inflammation. Cell. 2003;112:645–57.
- 23. Reina M, Espel E. Role of LFA-1 and ICAM-1 in Cancer. Cancers (Basel). 2017;9.

- Vazquez Rodriguez G, Abrahamsson A, Jensen LD, Dabrosin C. Estradiol promotes breast cancer cell migration via recruitment and activation of neutrophils. Cancer Immunol Res. 2017;5:234–47.
- Elkord E, Williams PE, Kynaston H, Rowbottom AW. Human monocyte isolation methods influence cytokine production from in vitro generated dendritic cells. Immunology. 2005;114:204–12.
- Parker KH, Beury DW, Ostrand-Rosenberg S. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells: critical cells driving immune suppression in the tumor microenvironment. Adv Cancer Res. 2015;128:95–139.
- 27. Gabrilovich Dl. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells. Cancer Immunol Res. 2017; 5:3–8.
- OuYang LY, Wu XJ, Ye SB, Zhang RX, Li ZL, Liao W, Pan ZZ, Zheng LM, Zhang XS, Wang Z, et al. Tumor-induced myeloid-derived suppressor cells promote tumor progression through oxidative metabolism in human colorectal cancer. J Transl Med. 2015;13:47.
- 29. Khaled YS, Ammori BJ, Elkord E. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in cancer: recent progress and prospects. Immunol Cell Biol. 2013;91:493–502.
- Umansky V, Blattner C, Gebhardt C, Utikal J. The role of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) in cancer progression. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2016;4.
- 31. Polakis P. Wnt signaling in cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol. 2012;4.
- 32. Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Lessons from hereditary colorectal cancer. Cell. 1996;87:159–70.
- Liu W, Dong X, Mai M, Seelan RS, Taniguchi K, Krishnadath KK, Halling KC, Cunningham JM, Boardman LA, Qian C, et al. Mutations in AXIN2 cause colorectal cancer with defective mismatch repair by activating beta-catenin/ TCF signalling. Nat Genet. 2000;26:146–7.
- Pukrop T, Klemm F, Hagemann T, Gradl D, Schulz M, Siemes S, Trumper L, Binder C. Wnt 5a signaling is critical for macrophage-induced invasion of breast cancer cell lines. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103:5454–9.
- 35. Marvel D, Gabrilovich DI. Myeloid-derived suppressor cells in the tumor microenvironment: expect the unexpected. J Clin Invest. 2015;125:3356–64.
- Yu J, Wang Y, Yan F, Zhang P, Li H, Zhao H, Yan C, Yan F, Ren X. Noncanonical NF-kappaB activation mediates STAT3-stimulated IDO upregulation in myeloid-derived suppressor cells in breast cancer. J Immunol. 2014;193:2574–86.
- Condamine T, Mastio J, Gabrilovich DI. Transcriptional regulation of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. J Leukoc Biol. 2015;98:913–22.
- Trikha P, Carson WE 3rd. Signaling pathways involved in MDSC regulation. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1846;2014:55–65.
- Gray SG, Teh BT. Histone acetylation/deacetylation and cancer: an "open" and "shut" case? Curr Mol Med. 2001;1:401–29.
- West AC, Johnstone RW. New and emerging HDAC inhibitors for cancer treatment. J Clin Invest. 2014;124:30–9.
- Glozak MA, Seto E. Histone deacetylases and cancer. Oncogene. 2007;26: 5420–32.
- Majaz S, Tong Z, Peng K, Wang W, Ren W, Li M, Liu K, Mo P, Li W, Yu C. Histone acetyl transferase GCN5 promotes human hepatocellular carcinoma progression by enhancing AIB1 expression. Cell Biosci. 2016;6:47.
- 43. Wapenaar H, Dekker FJ. Histone acetyltransferases: challenges in targeting bi-substrate enzymes. Clin Epigenetics. 2016;8:59.
- 44. Kulis M, Esteller M. DNA methylation and cancer. Adv Genet. 2010;70:27–56.
- 45. Ropero S, Esteller M. The role of histone deacetylases (HDACs) in human cancer. Mol Oncol. 2007;1:19–25.
- Han MS, Barrett T, Brehm MA, Davis RJ. Inflammation mediated by JNK in myeloid cells promotes the development of hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Rep. 2016;15:19–26.
- Hefetz-Sela S, Stein I, Klieger Y, Porat R, Sade-Feldman M, Zreik F, Nagler A, Pappo O, Quagliata L, Dazert E, et al. Acquisition of an immunosuppressive protumorigenic macrophage phenotype depending on c-Jun phosphorylation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111:17582–7.
- Collins LE, DeCourcey J, Soledad di Luca M, Rochfort KD, Loscher CE. An emerging role for SNARE proteins in dendritic cell function. Front Immunol. 2015;6:133.
- Ouzounova M, Lee E, Piranlioglu R, El Andaloussi A, Kolhe R, Demirci MF, Marasco D, Asm I, Chadli A, Hassan KA, et al. Monocytic and granulocytic myeloid derived suppressor cells differentially regulate spatiotemporal tumour plasticity during metastatic cascade. Nat Commun. 2017;8:14979.
- Elkabets M, Ribeiro VS, Dinarello CA, Ostrand-Rosenberg S, Di Santo JP, Apte RN, Vosshenrich CA. IL-1beta regulates a novel myeloid-derived suppressor cell subset that impairs NK cell development and function. Eur J Immunol. 2010;40:3347–57.

- Tu S, Bhagat G, Cui G, Takaishi S, Kurt-Jones EA, Rickman B, Betz KS, Penz-Oesterreicher M, Bjorkdahl O, Fox JG, Wang TC. Overexpression of interleukin-1beta induces gastric inflammation and cancer and mobilizes myeloid-derived suppressor cells in mice. Cancer Cell. 2008;14:408–19.
- Achyut BR, Angara K, Jain M, Borin TF, Rashid MH, Iskander ASM, Ara R, Kolhe R, Howard S, Venugopal N, et al. Canonical NFkappaB signaling in myeloid cells is required for the glioblastoma growth. Sci Rep. 2017;7:13754.
- 53. Kumar V, Gabrilovich DI. Hypoxia-inducible factors in regulation of immune responses in tumour microenvironment. Immunology. 2014;143:512–9.
- Linehan WM, Rubin JS, Bottaro DP. VHL loss of function and its impact on oncogenic signaling networks in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2009;41:753–6.
- Roger T, Lugrin J, Le Roy D, Goy G, Mombelli M, Koessler T, Ding XC, Chanson AL, Reymond MK, Miconnet I, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibitors impair innate immune responses to Toll-like receptor agonists and to infection. Blood. 2011;117:1205–17.
- Matoba T, Imai M, Ohkura N, Kawakita D, Ijichi K, Toyama T, Morita A, Murakami S, Sakaguchi S, Yamazaki S. Regulatory T cells expressing abundant CTLA-4 on the cell surface with a proliferative gene profile are key features of human head and neck cancer. Int J Cancer. 2019;144:2811–22.
- Sasidharan Nair V, Toor SM, Taouk G, Pfister G, Ouararhni K, Alajez NM, Elkord E. Pembrolizumab interferes with the differentiation of human FOXP3(+)-induced T regulatory cells, but not with FOXP3 stability, through activation of mTOR. J Immunol. 2020;204:199–211.
- Vishnubalaji R, Sasidharan Nair V, Ouararhni K, Elkord E, Alajez NM. Integrated transcriptome and pathway analyses revealed multiple activated pathways in breast cancer. Front Oncol. 2019;9:910.
- Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc 2009, 4:44-57.
- Huang DW, Sherman BT, Tan Q, Kir J, Liu D, Bryant D, Guo Y, Stephens R, Baseler MW, Lane HC, Lempicki RA. DAVID bioinformatics resources: expanded annotation database and novel algorithms to better extract biology from large gene lists. Nucleic Acids Res. 2007;35:W169–75.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

